

To the Deans of Dentistry

at all dental schools in all countries

July 2011

An open letter

From Robert Gammal BDS

Essential reading for all dental students

This open letter is addressed to the Deans and the Professors of Dentistry, who continue to teach dental students to use **dental mercury (Hg) amalgam** as part of their curriculum.

The information contained herein is of course pertinent to dental students.

No other university students are routinely poisoned, without their knowledge or consent, by mercury, the third most toxic non-radioactive substance known.¹ Only arsenic and lead are more toxic than mercury.

The continued teaching and use of mercury amalgam ensures that every dental student (and possibly every person in the dental hospital) will be exposed to massive levels of mercury vapour. The health of every dental student is at best compromised, if not irreversibly damaged by this practice. Dental mercury amalgam is neither safe nor effective.

Are the dental students informed that the mercury poisoning they receive is similar to that which the hatters of old were exposed to, and hence the name Mad Hatters. Sadly this is not Alice's Wonderland.

There are many hundreds of published articles which do NOT associate any disease states with the mercury that is released continuously from amalgam. This "lack of association" is then usually construed to mean that "amalgam is safe". A perfect example of this is in two studies published as recently as 2006, by the Journal of the American Medical Association.^{548, 549} These two studies are discussed at the end of this paper. The editor of the Journal of the American Medical Association (JAMA) in a special editorial in this journal, states clearly and with emphasis, that these studies should not be interpreted as a declaration of safety for amalgam. Dental associations around the world have ignored this advice and continue to point to these studies as proof of the safety of amalgam. (See Page 34)

There are also many hundreds of articles in the published science that clearly demonstrate serious health effects from mercury exposure, to both dental and non-dental personnel, mainly published in medical and environmental journals - journals that dentists tend NOT to read.

If there were only *one* article that demonstrated a problem then surely we deserve to give it some recognition. When there are *hundreds* then surely we are bound to react in a responsible and educated manner.

"The absence of evidence is not evidence of absence."

Carl Sagan

It seems incredible that the only group of people who cling to the desire to use mercury in their daily lives and implant it into other living humans is a health care profession. Only dentists think that mercury is "safe and effective". This is in line with the positions of the trade organizations called Dental Associations. This teaching is NOT in line with the published science. (Most of my patients are shocked to learn that dentists are still using amalgam and are incredulous that this practice is still being taught.)

I understand that as Deans and Professors of Dentistry you would have a heavy work load and may have missed some of these published scientific articles. At the same time you have a professional responsibility to ensure that the students are given accurate and comprehensive information and that the wellbeing of the students is of paramount importance. You also have the added responsibility of protecting the safety of everyone in the dental hospitals. This is particularly relevant at a time when mercury is being banned world wide. Perhaps you are genuinely unaware of some of this literature. I hope that this short letter will lead you to the references that allow you to make an informed choice for the protection of those you teach.

My intent is of course to stop the continued poisoning of dental students, dental personnel, patients and the environment by unnecessary exposure to mercury from amalgam fillings. Better alternatives are available, and if dentists do not know how to use these better alternative materials it is a damning reflection of the standard of education that the dental students are receiving. There have been literally hundreds of "Continuing Education" courses in Australia on the use of composite resin materials over the past 25 years, yet not one on the use of amalgam.

A simple decision to stop teaching and using mercury as an implant material is within your power.

About 50% of an amalgam filling is mercury which escapes from the mixture continuously in the form of elemental mercury, mercury vapour and mercury ions.

Mercury poisons everyone no matter what their race, religion, colour, nationality or profession. Even Deans and Professors are affected. Mercury does not differentiate or discriminate.

The Mechanics Myth

Dentistry has always taught that amalgam is a great filling material because it is strong, easy to use and cheap. It has been raised to the status of a great filling material by organizations that were built on its use – the dental associations. They have even declared that it is unethical to remove amalgam to affect an improvement in health.^{2,3}

The idea that alternatives to amalgam are not as good as a tooth filling material is an illusion. This view is NOT supported by the consensus of scientific research. Governments around the world acknowledge that

better alternatives are available. They have been for years. This is perhaps why so many dentists around the world have turned their backs on this antiquated filling material.

Even if amalgam were the great filling material that the teaching institutions like to claim, it would still not be a good enough argument to place mechanics above health effects. Perhaps thalidomide should be reinstated to stop 'morning sickness' for pregnant women. An equally ridiculous notion.

Dental amalgam is not just a bad restorative material. It is in fact the most tooth-destructive material we have. It should NOT be described as "restorative". It is more accurately described as an implant of mercury into living tissue.

In 1993 Dr Harold Loe, then the director of the National Institute of Dental Research in the USA stated:⁴

"That first filling is a critical step in the life of a tooth. Using amalgam for the first filling requires removing a lot of the tooth substance, not only diseased tooth substance but healthy tooth substance as well. So, in making the undercut you sacrifice a lot, and this results in a weakened tooth. The next thing you know the tooth breaks off and you need a crown. Then you need to repair the crown...and so it continues to the stage where there is no more to repair and you pull the tooth. With the first filling you should do something that can either restore the tooth or retain more healthy tooth substance. Use new materials - composites or materials you can bond to the surface without undercuts. You can do this with little removal of the tooth substance so that the core of the tooth is still there."

Amalgam weakens the tooth. Bonded restorations strengthen the tooth. It is easier to replace a worn filling than to replace missing bits of teeth. I am sure that most people would prefer to change a filling rather than loose a tooth.

In 1995 Dr Richard Simonsen the editor-in-chief of Quintessence, wrote:⁵

"Amalgam should never be used as a restorative material in paediatric dentistry." Why? Because better alternatives are available.

"Amalgam should never be used as a first time restorative material." Why? Because better alternatives are available.

"Move Over Amalgam - At Last."

Why are you, the Deans and Professors, teaching dental students to do mechanically destructive, antiquated, second rate fillings? Better alternatives have been available for years. Are they too difficult to teach to some of the brightest people on the planet? Why are you wasting the time of the dental students when they could be learning how to heal instead of poison people?

The 'Amalgam is Cheap' Myth

The proponents of amalgam claim that due to its cheap price it is an important filling material as it can be afforded by the poorer people in the community. This may have been true at the time of its introduction in the early 1800s. At that stage the main alternatives to amalgam were gold (far out of the reach of the average person) and molten lead (the second most toxic substance known). I can understand that dentists would have been delighted to have this as an alternative. The times have changed and we do have cheap, effective and safer alternatives which do not destroy teeth or poison their owners. Better alternatives have been available for years. It is time to get with the times.

I also find it hard to understand how it can remain 'cheap' as the price of silver increases almost by the minute and silver constitutes about 35% of the amalgam alloy which is mixed with mercury.

With respect to the actual dollar cost of a composite or amalgam filling there is not much difference in the patient's bill.

With respect to the fact that it cracks and destroys teeth, dental amalgam suddenly becomes a rather expensive 'restoration' as it will most likely need to be replaced by a crown, or an extraction and something to fill the gap. This compares unfavorably with the use of bonded composites which assist the integrity of the tooth.

Mechanically, amalgam is a destructive, and thus very expensive, implant which has no place in modern restorative dentistry.

Amalgam is the single greatest source of mercury to the general population. Since when should the cost of a treatment outweigh its potential to cause disease. This argument is nothing short of an insult to our intelligence and wellbeing. The cost of disease caused by mercury from amalgam is not included in the argument of its cheapness. This cost is not only carried by the patient but is in fact carried by the whole of the community. Banning the use of amalgam would immediately add many dollars/pounds/etc to the wealth of all communities. I would propose that medical research funding could be far better spent after we stop poisoning the people who have the diseases. Health is much cheaper than disease.

Waste amalgam

There is no argument that dental amalgam releases mercury all of the time. This is why such a great deal of fuss is made about the amalgam that we throw away and call "WASTE" amalgam. There are three sources – the bits that are left over after the cavity is filled, the bits that are cut out of a tooth when the filling needs replacing and the amalgam that remains in teeth that are extracted.⁶

Strict guidelines are in place for in-house storage of waste amalgam in dental surgeries, yet in 150 years of trying, dentistry has not found a way to prevent the release of mercury from waste amalgam.

The Environmental Protection Agency state that waste dental amalgam is a "toxic waste disposal hazard".⁷

Waste amalgam must be disposed of by toxic-waste experts. It is illegal to dispose of it into the sewerage, waste water or garbage because it will pollute the environment.^{8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20}

The mercury released from dental amalgam into the environment is significant. For example the mercury released from crematoria constitutes 16% of the UK's mercury pollution.²¹ At least 11kg of mercury is spread into the environment per crematorium chimney per year.^{22,23,24}

This does not include the pollution from burial, nor that which comes directly from dental surgery waste, nor from the urine and feces excreted by every human who has amalgam in their mouths.

The dental profession seems to dissociate the human body from the rest of the environment as it does the 'oral environment' from the rest of the body. Mercury vapour levels in the mouths of people with amalgam fillings may exceed occupational safety maximum permissible levels. Amalgam constitutes the single greatest source of mercury to the non-occupationally exposed population.

100% of humans who are exposed to any level of mercury vapour are in fact being poisoned. (The No Observable Effects Level for mercury is ZERO). The environmental disaster is discussed later in this letter. For now I want to come back to the dental students.

Toxic waste is placed in mouths

The amalgam the dental industry calls "waste amalgam" is exactly the same as the amalgam placed in people's teeth. It is the same amalgam that dental students must mix and use, to graduate as a dentist. It is the same material that they may continue to use as registered dentists, thereby continuing to poison themselves, staff, patients and the environment.

Maximum Allowable Levels Of Mercury Vapour

OSHA Occupational Safety & Health Authority Time Weighted Average 40hr/week USA & Australia	50 mcg/m³ Includes dentistry
EPA Environmental Protection Agency USA & Australia	0.3 mcg/m³ All other humans
ATSDR Agency for Toxic Substances & Disease Registry USA	0.02 mcg/m³ Transient Exposure

Mercury in Dental Clinics

It is well accepted that dentists are exposed to much greater levels of mercury than the rest of the population. This is true for dental students as well. The exposure to mercury vapour that dental personnel receive is regarded as an 'occupational' exposure and is measured against the OSHA TWA of 50 mcg/m³.

The EPA regulates for all other non-occupationally exposed humans and has set the Maximum Allowable Mercury Vapour Levels (USA & Australia) at 0.3 mcg/m³. (166 times less than Occupational maximum levels)

The ATSDR state that a transient exposure of only **0.02** mcg/m³, will produce **observable physiologic change!** This level is 2,500 times below the OSHA maximum and 15 times below the EPA maximum allowable mercury vapour concentration.

The studies on levels of mercury vapour in dental environments use the OSHA figure of 50mcg/m³ as the reference. Many of these studies demonstrate that a large percentage of dental surgeries have levels far exceeding this. Normally OSHA levels are matched by a requirement that all occupationally exposed workers must have a medical every year and that the results of this medical examination be kept for thirty years after the exposure ends. Dental personnel are not required to have such examinations.

Considering that dentists, dental students, dental nurses, hygienists, receptionists and patients are human beings, we should really be using the EPA figures of 0.3 mcg/m³ as the base line against which dental surgeries are measured. The difference is a factor of 166 times less.

Very few dental surgeries or teaching institutions would comply. Why is dentistry immune from EPA regulations? Are reception and nursing staff told that they will be occupationally exposed to mercury? Are patients told that they too will suffer the same fate? Are the dental students made aware of this? Have you told anyone in a medical hospital where dental procedures are carried out, that they too may be poisoned during this procedure.

The only reason that dental students and most other dental personnel are exposed to these toxic levels of mercury is that you, the Deans and Professors, continue to teach this technique.

Better alternatives are available.

There is no need to continue to use mercury amalgam.

Actual Measured Levels

Dental students are particularly vulnerable. All they have for protection is a paper mask and blind trust in what they are taught! Mercury vapour passes through such masks as easily as if they were not there! They are not supplied with mercury vapour masks and neither they nor their

patients are supplied with separate air supplies. In fact they are merely told to wash their hands and not spill mercury. Considering this information, the thought of twenty dental students, all using or cutting amalgam in the same clinic makes for an amazing horror movie.

Every time a dental student opens a capsule of mixed amalgam, a mercury vapour cloud is released. Concentrations up to 1000mcg/m³ have been measured.²⁵ This is 3000 times greater than what the EPA standards allow and 50,000 times greater than what is known to cause observable physiological change.

A cloud of mercury vapour is also created when an amalgam filling is cut with a high speed bur. Levels as high as 4,000mcg/m³ have been measured 18 inches from the mouth.^{Error! Bookmark not defined.} This is well within the breathing zone of the dental student and dental nurse, let alone the patient. This is 200,000 times greater than what is known to cause observable physiological change, as a transient exposure.

Cutting amalgam will also create a cloud of microscopic, fully respirable particles. This will also cause a marked elevation in body burden of mercury.²⁶ Although these particles may be trapped in a paper mask, the combination of the vast surface area and the increase in temperature from the persons breath, may actually create an increased mercury vapour level on the inside of the mask which is of course inhaled.

One would think that proper mercury vapour masks should be a 'minimum requirement' for all people who must breath, in a mercury vapour environment. Why are dental students and other dental personnel being denied this basic protection? Perhaps the patients may get a bit nervous about having amalgam implanted into their bodies if proper mercury hygiene were observed and students were really given protective clothing and masks.

Why is it that Environmental Protection Agency & Occupational Health and Safety standards are not respected?

Exceeding the maximum allowable levels by HOW MUCH?

Maximum Allowable Hg Vapour Mcg/m ³ /m ³	6 amalgam fillings 30-120 mcg/m ³	Opening a mixed amalgam capsule 1000 mcg/m ³	Drilling amalgam fillings 4000 mcg/m ³
OSHA 50	2x	20x	80x
EPA 0.3	400x	3,333x	13,333x
ATSDR 0.02	6,000x	50,000	200,000

Spread of Mercury Vapour

Where does all of this mercury go? The answer is provided in a study published in the British Dental Journal in 2001.²⁷ Plasma mercury levels were measured in people who were directly exposed to mercury vapour in clinics and those who were nowhere near the clinics. They found that:

“There were statistically significant increases ($p < 0.001$) in plasma mercury concentration between measurements in all groups at the end of the academic year. Red cell mercury levels were also consistently elevated.”

“Although the highest levels of mercury were recorded in persons working with amalgam, increased levels were also found in subjects working in the teaching classrooms but not with amalgam (controls and first year students).”

This clearly shows that the mercury that was created in the actual clinics was spread throughout the building and poisoned even those who were not directly exposed to working with amalgam. Every person in that building was poisoned. Do you, as Dean in your dental hospital, have informed consent from all of the people who enter these premises, to poison them.

Many dental surgeries still have their suction motors inside the premises of the surgery and venting into these premises. There are no filters on suction motors which catch the mercury vapour. Everyone who is in the building will be inside a big fume cupboard. All suction motors should be vented to the outside of the building to protect everyone inside the building!

Mercury vapour is odorless, tasteless, and invisible.

80% of mercury vapour inhaled into the lungs will cross into the blood stream²⁸ and be transported to every cell in the body.^{29,30}

Mercury is also transported along nerve fibres toward the brain at a rate of 10mm per day.^{31,32,33,34,35,36}

Mercury vapour also adheres to and passes through the oro-nasal mucosa and the base of the skull, and gains direct entry into the brain.^{37,38}

Paper masks used by dental personnel do NOT stop mercury vapour.

Studies from 1989 & 1990 in sheep and monkey animal models demonstrate that “dental mercury accumulates in all tissues of the adult, and is at its highest in the kidney and liver. This accumulation is so extensive that it can be visualised on a whole-body image scan”^{39,40}

Mercury Release from amalgam fillings

Mercury escapes from amalgam in the forms of mercury vapour, elemental mercury and mercury ions. The rate of release of mercury is increased by an increase in temperature, friction and electrical currents. Elevated levels remain for about 90 minutes after such stimulation.⁴¹

Oral mercury vapour levels have been measured at between 30–120 mcg/m³ for a mouth with 6 amalgam fillings. Thus the oral environment of most people with amalgam fillings exceeds OSHA, EPA, ATSDR and WHO standards for mercury vapour.^{41,42,43,44,45,46,329,47,48,49}

In 1990 the daily uptake of mercury from amalgam was analyzed:

“It is estimated that the average individual, with eight biting surface mercury fillings, is exposed to a daily dose uptake of about 10 mcg mercury from their fillings.

“Select individuals may have daily doses 10 times higher (100 mcg) because of factors which exacerbate the mercury vaporisation.”⁵⁰

Galvanic Reactions

As mentioned briefly before, increases in temperature, friction and grinding will increase the output of mercury from amalgam fillings. Another important factor to consider is the practice of placing gold in a mouth with amalgam fillings or, as most prosthodontists in Australia still do, using amalgam as a core for gold crowns. Not only is this contraindicated by the manufacturer, Caulk (see MSDS for Dispersally p19), but this practice was warned against way back in 1964, in the most prestigious text book on dental materials by Skinner & Phillips⁵¹. This text book, used for teaching dental students, clearly states:

“It has been suggested that these electrical currents, or the metallic ions which are liberated from the restoration because of the galvanic current, could account for the many types of dyscrasias, such as lesions, ulcers, leukoplakia, cancer and kidney disorders.

“The insertion of an amalgam restoration directly in contact with a gold inlay would seem to be contraindicated.”

In fact a 1978 study⁵² which looked at the mercury levels in the roots of teeth found that there was a fourfold increase in the amount of mercury per gram tissue weight of dentine when a gold crown was placed over an amalgam filling compared to teeth with amalgam only. “Gold in contact with amalgam constitutes a short circuited, permanent galvanic cell, where the electrolytes are constantly renewed.”⁵³

Placing gold and amalgam in the same mouth will cause a dramatic increase in mercury from all of the fillings. "In the mouth, gold acts as a cathode and the less noble metal, mercury amalgam, functions as the anode, and a dissolution of the less noble metal takes place." ²⁹¹

Some other supporting literature can be found in these references.
54,55,56,57,58,59,60,61,62,63,64,65,66

The magnitudes of oral currents are in the same order of magnitude as those induced in the tissues of a human, standing directly under high-voltage transmission lines. ⁶⁷

The practice of placing amalgam and other metals in the same mouth is still being taught at most universities throughout the world. There is NO justification. There is NO legal defence for this practice which is warned against by the manufacturers and your own texts.

You may also like to know that a study published in 2008 showed that MRI, and microwave radiation emitted from mobile phones, cause a significant release of mercury from dental amalgam implants. ⁶⁸

The new fetish of bleaching teeth has a serious downside as well. Bleaching agents used to whiten teeth, when in contact with amalgam fillings, provoke an immediate and large increase in the release of mercury from these fillings. Are dentists or patients given this information? ^{69,70,71,72,73,74,75,76,77}

WHO Criteria 118, (1991)

Criteria 118 published by the World Health Organisation ⁷⁸ is the first WHO publication which included dental amalgam as a dietary source of mercury. They found that daily contributions to the body burden of mercury from various sources are;

Air and water	0 mcg/day
Foods generally	0.3 mcg/day
Seafood	2.3 mcg/day
Dental Amalgam	1-17 mcg/day

The figure for amalgam was reviewed in 2003 and raised to **27 mcg/day**.
²⁸

Dental amalgam is the single greatest source of mercury to the general population – staggeringly, to a value of **ten times** higher than all other sources combined, including seafood.

Criteria 118 also established a **No Observable Effects Level** for Mercury. **The NOEL for mercury is ZERO.**

In other words there is **NO** level of mercury vapour which is safe! Many other studies support this position.

79,80,81,82,83,84,85,86,87,88,89,90,91,92,93,94,95,96,97,98,99,100,101,102,103,104,105,106,107,108,109,110,
111

With regard to all this evidence, it is remarkable that in 2011, dental students are taught to place mercury into living human bodies. This insanity is not shared by any other medical profession. It is in fact a criminal act to knowingly poison anyone with mercury (unless you are a dentist)!

Mercury Levels in Dentists and Students

In 1973 we were informed that there would be a dramatic increase in the body burden of mercury from inhaling amalgam dust.¹⁹⁷

In 1976 the following is published: "exposure to mercury during the preparation of silver amalgam definitely presents an additional occupational hazard as an allergen in the dentist".²⁹⁸

A 1977 study showed that a "clinical blood serum mercury test of 111 dentists and auxiliaries revealed that more than 50% had above normal serum mercury levels".¹⁹⁶

(The number of people above the normal serum level is now much higher since the level has been dropped. The same is true for urine levels of mercury. "The lowest exposure, in terms of urinary mercury secretion, that has been found to give rise to a demonstrable toxic effect has fallen from 30-50 mcg/l till 10-25 mcg/l" - Swedish Government Report 2003).

A 1980 study showed that the mercury concentration in the whole blood of the students (22 microgram/l) was the highest. (12 assistants and students, 27 dentists, and 42 dental assistants).²¹⁴

1982 saw the following published: "The high mercury group had mild visuographic dysfunction; they also had more symptom-distress than did the control group. These findings suggest that the use of mercury as a restorative material is a health risk for dentists".³⁸⁴

1987 sees "total and inorganic mercury levels in blood are significantly different between dentists and non-dental controls".¹⁶⁷

In 1989 "The factor most closely related to high urinary mercury levels was use of amalgam by the dentist."¹⁶⁴

Again in 1989 we read "The results revealed high mercury concentrations in pituitaries from the dental staff cases compared to controls" (from autopsies of 8 dental staff cases and 27 controls).¹¹²

In 1990 we read "Moreover, the excretion correlates not only to the number of placed restorations per week, but also to the number of polished and replaced amalgam restorations per week".¹⁵⁹

In 1995 research again shows "the urinary mercury levels of the tested dental professionals were significantly higher than those of the control group".¹⁵⁰

In 2003 we are told that “General dentists were found to have more than twice the level of mercury in toenails than non-dental health professionals and 60 percent higher than dental specialists”.¹¹³

Another study from 2004 states: “the mercury content in all biological material was significantly higher in the dental workers than in the control population”.¹¹⁴

Of 180 dentists in the West of Scotland, dentists were found to have, “on average, urinary mercury levels over 4 times that of control subjects...

“One hundred and twenty two (67.8%) of the 180 surgeries visited had environmental mercury measurements in one or more areas above the Occupational Exposure Standard (OES) set by the Health and Safety Executive.

“Dentists were significantly more likely than control subjects to have suffered from disorders of the kidney...”¹¹⁵

Another study from 2005 states: “Our results showed that dentists had significant exposure to Hg vapor compared to control subjects and therefore might be subject to possible adverse effects due to Hg toxicity.”¹¹⁶

In 2008 the well respected Quintessence International, published a study which found a large statistical difference between mercury levels in dental students and dental nurses compared to non-dental controls.¹¹⁷

A study published in 2009 found that “The urinary and salivary mercury levels were significantly increased in the exposed group, ... Disposing of amalgam waste was inadequate in 94% of the cases.”¹¹⁸

A study published in 2010 concludes: “Occupational exposure to dental amalgam poses a potential risk of increasing systemic mercury levels...”¹¹⁹

And so the list goes on. There is a plethora of literature that demonstrates that **dentists, dental students and dental nurses**, and **everyone else in the premises** have body burdens of mercury far greater than age-matched controls. These studies also demonstrate that many surgeries are above the OSHA maximum allowable of 50mcg/m³ for mercury vapour and thus above the EPA maximum allowable level.

120,121,122,123,124,125,126,127,128,129,130,131,132,133,134,135,136,137,138,139,140,141,142,143,144,145, 146,147,148,149,150,151,152,153,154,155,156,157,158,159,160,161,162,163,164,165,166,167,168,169,170,171, 172,173,174,175,176,177,178,179,180,181,182,183,184,185,186,187,188,189,190,191,192,193,194,195,196,197, 198,199,200,201,202,203,204,205,206,207,208,209,210

One could therefore conclude that;

- **dental premises are environmentally hazardous!**
- **Warnings should be obligatory!**
- **Protective clothing should be obligatory!**

Levels of mercury in dental students are substantially higher than age-matched controls. ^{211,212,213,214,215}

How is it that a profession which cares for the health of people seems ignorant of the laws which regulate this exposure?

A study from 1991²¹⁶, was performed "to find out if the first professional contact of dental students with amalgam resulted in an increased mercury excretion." The study was conclusive. The longer the students were exposed to mercury in the clinic, the greater was their body burden of mercury.

The use of the term "professional contact" must surely put a new light on the idea of "professionalism". I would have called it the first poisoning of dental students.

Another study (1989) showed "a dramatic (doubling) of mercury in the urine of dental students after one year exposure to amalgam placement and cutting." ²¹⁷

Why are we stuck at 50 mcg/m³?

The World Health Organisation and the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry in the USA published their joint statement in 2003: ²⁸

"Mild clinical signs of nervous system toxicity can be observed among people who have been exposed occupationally to elemental mercury concentrations of **20mcg/m³**."

Why then are we still referring to 50 mcg/m³ as a safe standard?

50 mcg/m³ is 2,500 times above the level known to cause observable physiological change. Not only the people working in these clinics, but every patient that enters them, can and most likely will be, exposed to dangerous levels of mercury vapour.

We really should be questioning whether the current threshold limit value of 50 mcg/m³ provides adequate protection against adverse effects of mercury. Neurological and physiological studies of dentists call for a review of this figure as being too high. ^{387, 394,218,219,220,221,222}

What rationale can there be to allow anyone to be exposed to these levels of mercury?

What rationale can there be for the continued poisoning of dental students – without their consent?

DMPS

4,272 U.S. dentists who participated in the Health Assessment Programs held from 1975-1983 at American Dental Association Annual Sessions had a mean urine level of 14.2 mcg/l with a range of 0 to 556 mcg/l. The study showed;

- 19.1% were over the maximum normal measurement of 20 mcgHg/l

- 10.9% were over the C.D.C. maximum acceptable level of 30 mcgHg/l
- 4.9% were over 50 mcgHg/l, the level found to cause induced tremors
- 1.3% were over 100 mcgHg/l, the level found to cause tremors

(As the new standards allow for only 10-25 mcg/l we could almost double the numbers of dentists seriously affected by mercury.)

Urine analysis is the most common assessment of mercury levels in dental personnel. Unfortunately all that urine levels can look at are the excreted levels of mercury. Mercury is a cumulative toxin. Much more is retained than is excreted. Urine levels are NOT a good predictor of body burdens of mercury. ^(Swedish Govt Report 2003) Blood is also of limited value as mercury only stays in the blood for about 12 hours before binding to cells.

The use of DMPS as a chelating agent and as a challenge test has demonstrated time and again that the real mercury body burdens are far higher than a simple urine test can show. ^{223,224,225,226,227, 228,229,230,231,232, 233,234} One study showed a five-fold increase for dental personnel using DMPS. ²²⁸.

A 1995 study ³⁸⁹ showed that urine mercury levels directly related to:

- Reduced concentration
- Emotional instability
- Impaired Fine Motor Function (seriously affected)
- Evidence of subtle pre-clinical changes in behavior were associated with mercury exposure

In 1995 the following reported: ³⁸⁸

“The mean urinary mercury level after the DMPS challenge was adversely and statistically associated with functions related to complex attention, psychomotor task, mood and symptoms in a linear dose-effect manner.”

Risk Assessments

Canadian Risk Assessment 1995 ²³⁵

A Risk Assessment commissioned by Health Canada and published in 1995 addressed the number of amalgam fillings that would place a person above what is regarded as the Tolerable Daily Intake (TDI) for mercury. It found that the mercury released from four amalgam fillings in an adult would place them above the TDI. For teenagers the number drops to 3 and for children and the elderly only 1 amalgam filling is enough to push them over the TDI for mercury.

These findings are fully endorsed by Health Canada (P. 24) and underscore their Position Statement on amalgam.

These figures do not account for mouths with a combination of amalgam and gold with far greater releases of mercury.

German Risk Assessment 2005 ²³⁶

"Mercury from dental amalgam may lead to nephrotoxicity, neurobehavioural changes, autoimmunity, oxidative stress, autism, skin and mucosa alterations or non-specific symptoms and complaints. The development of Alzheimer's disease or multiple sclerosis has also been linked to low-dose mercury exposure.

"Mercury levels in the blood, urine or other biomarkers do not reflect the mercury load in critical organs.

"Removal of dental amalgam leads to permanent improvement of various chronic complaints in a relevant number of patients in various trials. Summing up, available data suggests that dental amalgam is an unsuitable material for medical, occupational and ecological reasons."

Australian Risk Assessment 2000

In 1999 the Working Party on Mercury and amalgam for the NHMRC (Australia) stated "the likely daily intake of mercury from dental amalgam fillings **encroached substantially** on a prudent safety margin between exposure and identified adverse health effects." ²³⁷ They also state that "Mercury can cross the placental barrier and can impair kidney function at sub-clinical levels of exposure." (*my emphasis*)

This Working Party also recommended that Australia carry out its own risk assessment. Point 8 of the executive summary of this Risk Assessment states: ²³⁸

"Amalgam removal has been shown to be effective in reducing mercury levels to the levels of those in people without amalgam fillings. Chelation treatment has also reduced levels in the short-term... in one case report, amalgam removal has reduced a very high urine mercury level to a normal level. This change was accompanied by a decline in symptoms...."

This risk assessment is NOT referred to in the final position paper of the NHMRC. Interestingly the NHMRC refers twice to the Australian Dental Association in its Position Statement. ²³⁹ Interestingly the Australian Dental Association refers to the NHMRC to support its position on amalgam. A curious merry-go-round.

I would also like to point out that another of the NHMRC references is to the "World Health Organization Consensus Statement on dental amalgam, 7 March 1997. Geneva: WHO, 1999." This Statement claims the safety of amalgam. It has been touted about as an official position of the World Health Organisation. For example from the Australian Dental Association: ²⁴⁰

"ADA Council on Scientific Affairs Revised: August 2009
 The FDI World Dental Federation and the World Health Organization concluded in a 1997 consensus statement: "No controlled studies have been published demonstrating systemic adverse effects from amalgam restorations ." Another conclusion of the report stated that, aside from rare instances of local side effects

of allergic reactions, "the small amount of mercury released from amalgam restorations, especially during placement and removal, has not been shown to cause any ... adverse health effects."

At the time there were many requests to the World Health Organisation regarding the validity of the statement. WHO distanced themselves completely from this supposed consensus statement in the following response:

"28 October 1997
Ewa Carlsson Hopperger
Legal Officer
WHO Geneva

Expert Groups, whatever the form, are usually set up as ad hoc groups, and what they have in common is that they are only set up in order to provide advice to WHO.

This means that any statements or recommendations made by the group or the individual experts are not in any way binding for WHO, or any other body for that matter, they are only made as advice to WHO. Also, WHO is in no way responsible for the advice provided to it by the experts."

Australia's official government health organisation, the National Health and Medical Research Council, reference their position statement on an unofficial document which has nothing to do with the World Health Organisation and is little more than the opinion of an advisory body.

Amalgam Removal Lowers Body Burden of Mercury

It is now well established that removal of amalgam fillings will lower the body burden of mercury. Many symptoms and diseases will also resolve. Some do not. This is acknowledged by the Australian, Swedish, Canadian and German Governments. ^{241,242,243,244,245,246,247,248,249,250,251,252,253,254,255,256,257,258,259,260,261,262,263,264,265,266,267,268,269,270,271,272,273,274,275,276,277,278,279,280,281,282,283}

This alone begs the question why any intelligent Dean or Professor would ever consider teaching dental students to implant mercury into uninformed patients.

Effects of Mercury on Dental Personnel

The notion that amalgam is "safe" is not supported by the published literature. The use of amalgam will expose all dental personnel to mercury vapour. Mercury from amalgam will poison the dental students. One study from 1991 reported terrifying consequences²⁸⁴:

"... dental work involving mercury may be an occupational hazard with respect to reproductive processes, glioblastoma (brain cancer), renal function changes, allergies and immunotoxicological effects."

Sadly there IS a long list of detrimental effects of mercury on dental personnel. I would like to remind us all that all dentists are firstly

humans and will suffer the same way that everyone else does. All "female dental personnel" are women. Some are dentists and others are nursing or office personnel. Most enter this area of work at a prime child bearing age. The list that follows is a small sample of some of the published research.

The effects of mercury on women's health ²⁸⁵ have been known for many years. 1907

"In women, there will be inflammations of the outer genitals, vaginal catarrhs and disturbances of menstruation. That there is a tendency to miscarriage during chronic mercurialism is well known from the toxicology of mercury".

How many times must we reinvent the wheel?

The EPA in 1984 (USA) warned: ²⁸⁶

"Women chronically exposed to mercury vapour experienced increased frequencies of menstrual disturbances and spontaneous abortions....A high mortality rate was observed among infants born to women who displayed symptoms of mercury poisoning."

The Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry in the USA, stated in 1990: ²⁸⁷

"Long-term exposure to either organic or inorganic mercury can permanently damage the brain, kidneys, and developing fetuses....

"Short-term exposure to high levels of inorganic and organic mercury will have similar health effects; but full recovery is more likely after short-term exposures, once the body clears itself of the contamination."

In 1991, the Dean for Research School of Dental and Oral Surgery Columbia University New York, Dr Irwin Mandel DDS Assoc., wrote: ²⁸⁸

"rates of **spontaneous abortion** or **non-congenital abnormalities** in children during this period, were higher in respondents exposed to high levels of mercury **in the dental environment** than those exposed to low levels." (*my emphasis*)

A critical study from 1994 ²⁸⁹ (supported in '95 ²⁹⁰) shows that the level of mercury in the body of a fetus or new born infant is directly proportional to the number of amalgam fillings in the mother's mouth. These studies prompted the German Government to immediately issue warnings against the use of amalgam in pregnant women, children and women of child bearing age!

The earliest symptoms associated with long term, low level mercury exposure (micromercurialism) are usually sub-clinical and neurological, namely fatigue, headaches, forgetfulness, reduced short term memory, poor concentration, shyness and timidity, confusion, rapid mood swings, unprovoked anger, depression and suicidal tendencies. ^{291,292,293}

An abbreviated list of some of the effects of mercury on dental personnel include:

- Contact dermatitis ^{294,295,296}
- Increased hypersensitivity due to mercury as an allergen ^{297,298,299,300,301}
- Increased rate of infertility, miscarriage and birth defects ^{302,303,304,305}
- Increased rates of menstrual problems ³⁰⁶
- Mercury crosses the placenta and is found in breast milk ^{307,308,309,310,311,312,313,314,315,316}
- Increased rates of autism with increased levels of mercury ³¹⁷
- Male and female reproduction are affected ^{318,319,320,321,322,323,324,325,326,327,328,329,330,331,332,333,334,335}
- Genetic change ^{336,337,338}
- Irritability, cephalalgia, arthralgias ¹³⁵
- Damage to skeletal muscle ³³⁹
- Mercury causes micro-angiopathies ²⁹²
- Neuropsychological, muscular, respiratory, cardiovascular and dermal symptoms were more prevalent in dentists. ³⁴⁰
- Kidney disease ^{341,342,343,344,345,346,347,348}
- Immune system disturbances ^{349,350,351,352,353,354,355,356,357,358,359,360,361,362,363,364,365,366,367,368,369,370,371,372,373,374,375,376,377,378,379} with dramatic effects on immune systems of dental students ³⁸⁰
- Endocrine function is effected ^{381,382,383}
- Neurophysiological and neuropsychological effects (some may be irreversible) ^{384,385,386,387,388,389,390,391,392,393,394,395,396,397,398,399,400,401,402,403,404,405,406,407,408,409,410,411,412,413,414,415,416,417,418,419,420,421,422,423,424}
- Increased number of polyneuropathies ⁴²⁵
- Twice the rate of glioblastoma to the rest of the population (Study of 9241 people) ⁴²⁶
- Neural degeneration ⁴²⁷
- High suicide rate ⁴²⁸

The research associating mercury with major diseases is even more relevant for dental students and all dental personnel whose exposure to mercury vapour far exceeds that of the general population.

- There is an increasing association between mercury and Alzheimer's disease ^{429,430,431,432,433,434,435,}
- There is also an association with Parkinson's disease ^{436,437,438}
- Mercury has been associated with Motor Neurone Disease ^{439,32,440}
- Amalgam is cytotoxic ⁴⁴¹
- Dental amalgam itself has been found to be toxic to nerve cells ⁴⁴²
- There is a significant increase in mercury and antibiotic resistance within two weeks of mercury filling placement ^{443,444,445,446,447,448}
- Lichen Planus is strongly associated with amalgam fillings. The majority of lesions resolve when amalgam is removed. ^{449,450,451,452,453,454,455,456,457,458,459,460,461,462,463,464,465,466,467}
- Mercury binds to selenium and blocks its use for a large variety of actions ^{472,280,310,142,157,468,383,469,470,471,225}

- Heart attack, stroke and liver damage are strongly associated with mercury ^{31, 269,472}
- Low concentrations are mutagenic ⁴⁷³
- Hearing loss may be caused by mercury ⁴⁷⁴
- Kawasaki's disease may also be related to mercury⁴⁷⁵
- Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis is associated with mercury ^{476,477,478,479,480,481,482,483,484,485,486,487,488,489,490}
- Sperm count and motility are affected by mercury ^{491,492,493,494,495,496,497,498,499,500}
- Colour vision and other visual disturbance caused by mercury. Colour vision may be permanently affected. ^{501,502,503,504}

Two studies from 1997 ⁵⁰⁵ and 2002 ⁵⁰⁶ demonstrate statistically significant correlations between mercury and the following symptoms:

bleeding gums, metallic taste, burning tongue, concentration problems, memory disturbances, sleep disturbances, lack of initiative, restlessness. Gastrointestinal: not specified. A statistically significant relationship between saliva mercury and the number of amalgam fillings was also demonstrated.

Another study ⁵⁰⁷ found that "as a group, dentists are, after a number of years, at least one standard deviation below the rest of the population in IQ levels. Considering that a dentist must be at least one standard deviation above the rest of the population to have passed dental school, this represents an enormous and significant drop!"

This is NOT a complete list. There are thousands of studies!

What justification can there be for exposing dental students to an increased risk of any one of these effects, without any warnings (written, verbal or otherwise) and without any basic protection like safe breathing masks? (Can we still believe that amalgam is 'cheap'?)

What justification can there be to teach dental students to do this to other human beings?

Is there no respect for the idea that we should first do no harm?

There are literally thousands of published studies demonstrating the toxic effects of mercury. Please allow yourselves to be informed!

MSDS for 'Dispersalloy' amalgam

The Material Safety Data Sheet from Caulk Co (Manufacturers of the dental amalgam "Dispersalloy"), published in 1997, clearly states that chronic inhalation of mercury vapour can cause: ⁵⁰⁸

"mercurialism, which is characterized by fine tremors and erethism. Tremors may affect the hands first, but may also become evident in the face, arms, and legs. Erethism may be manifested by abnormal shyness, blushing, self consciousness, depression or despondency, resentment of criticism, irritability or excitability, headache, fatigue, and insomnia. In severe cases, hallucinations, loss of memory and mental deterioration may occur. Concentrations as low as

0.03mcg/m³ have induced psychiatric symptoms in humans. Renal involvement may be indicated by proteinuria, albuminuria, enzymuria, and anuria. Other effects may include salivation, gingivitis, stomatitis, loosening of the teeth, blue lines on the gums, diarrhea, chronic pneumonitis and mild anemia. Repeated exposure to mercury and its compounds may result in sensitisation. Intrauterine exposure may result in tremors and involuntary movements in the infants. Mercury is excreted in breast milk. Paternal reproductive effects and effects on fertility have been reported in male rats following repeated inhalation exposures."

The MSDS also includes the following contraindications to the use of their amalgam:

1. In proximal or occlusal contact to dissimilar metal restorations.
2. In patients with severe renal deficiency.
3. In patients with known allergies to amalgam.
4. For retrograde or endodontic filling.
5. As a filling material for cast crown.
6. In children 6 yrs and under.
7. In expectant mothers.

Kerr Corporation manufacturers of Tytin amalgam state on their MSDS:
 "The placement of a dental amalgam in a patient will increase the levels of mercury in the body of the patient."

Remember the NOEL for mercury is ZERO!

Evidence Based Dentistry

The Australian Dental Association defines evidence-based dentistry as ⁵⁰⁹
 "... an approach to oral health care which requires the judicious integration of systematic assessments of clinically relevant scientific evidence, relating to the patient's oral and medical condition and history, with the dentist's clinical expertise and the patient's treatment needs and preferences."

They go on to say that evidence based dentistry
 "applies to the science of dentistry. EBD relies on systematic reviewing of scientific literature and publishing the evidence relevant to specific clinical questions. The goal of EBD is to help practitioners provide the best care for their patients."

With such a broad and self-serving definition one might understand the contradictory positions held by the dental associations.

By continuing to support the belief that mercury amalgam is a safe and effective filling material, they are appearing to ignore their own advice.

Dental Association Positions

Note that the dental associations are nothing more than trade organisations. They are NOT scientific organisations nor do they represent all dentists. In Australia only about 70% of dentists belong to the Australian Dental Association. (I do not.)

The majority of dentists throughout the world take their direction from their dental associations. The dental associations however, do not represent the dental nurses, receptionists or any other ancillary staff who are also exposed to mercury vapour during the course of their normal work day. In fact it could be argued that the dental associations do NOT represent any of their membership when it comes to looking after their wellbeing.

Health Canada Response to the Canadian Dental Association

The Canadian Dental Association (CDA) had on their website some "questions" and "answers" about amalgam which was effectively their policy statement about amalgam. In 1996 Dr Richard Tobin, director of Health Canada's Medical Devices Bureau, has urged the dental association to correct wrong information about amalgam fillings it has been sending to dentists.⁵¹⁰

Canadian Dental Association (CDA)

Q. Is dental amalgam approved for use in Canada?

A. Yes, dental amalgam is approved for use in Canada by Health Protection Branch.

Dr Richard Tobin, Canada Health:

"This statement is categorically false. Dental amalgam has never undergone pre-market review in Canada because it was in use before the Medical Devices Regulations were established. The CDA previously published this misinformation in a paper in the CDA Journal in May 1995. At that time, we informed the CDA of this error, but CDA has repeated it here."

Canadian Dental Association (CDA)

A. Scientific literature on the topic, as a whole, supports the position that amounts released are generally less than mercury picked up from natural sources.

Dr Richard Tobin, Canada Health:

"This may be a misleading over-simplification. The World Health Organization states that dental amalgam is the largest single source of mercury exposure for persons not occupationally exposed (World Health Organization, 1991. Inorganic Mercury. Environmental Health Criteria 118. International Program on Chemical Safety. Geneva)."

Canadian Dental Association (CDA)

Q. Is the mercury which is released from fillings absorbed into the body?

A. Yes, but in extremely small amounts, i.e. in MILLIONTHS of a gram (this is very small amount, 0.000001 grams).

Dr Richard Tobin, Canada Health:

"This answer is rather condescending and insulting to the intelligence of readers. By emphasizing only how small a microgram is it implies that a microgram of toxic material could not be harmful. What is significant is not how many zeroes there are in a microgram, but how many micrograms of mercury are released by and compared to the number of micrograms required to cause illness. The fact is that a level of only one hundred millionths of a gram of mercury per gram of Creatinine in urine is considered to indicate clinical mercury poisoning."

The Australian Dental Association

The Australian Dental Association's position is well represented by one of its past spokespersons: ⁵¹¹

"I personally have no worry or concern about it, for either my patients or my own family," said Dr Patrick Dalton, spokesperson for the NSW branch of the Australian Dental Association (ADA). "This tends to be a very, very emotional issue, there's a lot of heat generated about this, but not a lot of light."

Sadly he undoubtedly believes and means what he is saying.

The British Dental Association position

The BDA's position was made clear in a 1994 Panorama Program interview.

The BDA fact sheet made available to dentists states "the scientific evidence "available" to the BDA does not justify banning the use of amalgam in young children." Says Hunt, "I've treated my children with amalgam and have no doubt that when they have their own children, they will do the same."

The reaction of the British Dental Association (BDA) to the 1994 Panorama program was predictable. The BDA told Panorama that they were unaware of the work of Haley and Echeverria, and also unaware of the work of Professor Aposhian at the University of Arizona, who discovered that 66% of the mercury deposits in the body come from fillings. They rejected the work of Dr. Drasch as "not proving that mercury deposits in the body are hazardous to health" - a position that is transparently ludicrous and scientifically false.⁵¹²

In fact, Mr. John Hunt, Chief Executive of the BDA told Panorama that he "believed amalgam was safe".

American Dental Association

"... the ADA has added to its Principles of Ethics and Code of Professional Conduct a provision declaring it unethical for a dentist to recommend the removal of clinically serviceable amalgam fillings..."⁵¹³

This statement redefines the concept of "Ethics" and "Professional Conduct".

One could wonder if there is a vested interest running this position. The American Dental Association has since 1977 owned two patents on amalgam Number 4018600 (1977) and Number 4078921 (1978).

Official Positions

**Dental Amalgam
has NEVER been approved
as a filling material by either
the TGA in Australia or
the FDA in America.**

The official positions of many international bodies are in stark contrast to what the dental associations claim.

WHO & ATSDR Joint Statement 2003²⁸

- Dental amalgam constitutes a potentially significant source of exposure to elemental Mercury.
- Estimates of daily intake range from 1 – 27 mcg/m³.
- 80% of inhaled mercury vapour is retained.
- Mercury may be absorbed through the skin in toxicologically relevant quantities.
- Mercury is soluble in human fat and easily penetrates biological membranes – including the blood-brain barrier.
- Metabolism of mercury compounds to other forms of mercury can occur within tissues of the body.
- A broad range of symptoms have been reported and these symptoms are qualitatively similar irrespective of the mercury compound to which one is exposed.
- Neurotoxic symptoms include tremors, emotional lability, insomnia, memory loss, neuromuscular changes, headaches, polyneuropathy, and performance deficits in tests of cognitive and motor functions. Some of these changes may be permanent.
- Mild clinical signs of central nervous system toxicity can be observed among people who have been exposed occupationally to elemental mercury vapour concentrations of **20 mcg/m³**.

California Proposition 65

On 7th Jan. 2003, the Superior Court in San Francisco approved the wording of the following warnings to be displayed in Californian dental surgeries in compliance with proposition 65:

“Dental Amalgam, used in many dental fillings, causes exposure to mercury, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

Root canal treatments and restorations including fillings, crowns and bridges, use chemicals known to the state of California to cause cancer.”

Health Canada

Health Canada’s Position Statement on Dental Amalgam 1996

Health Canada advises dentists to take the following measures:

- Non-mercury filling materials should be considered for restoring the primary teeth of children where the mechanical properties of the material are suitable.
- Whenever possible, amalgam fillings should not be placed in or removed from the teeth of pregnant women.
- Amalgam should not be placed in patients with impaired kidney function.
- In placing and removing amalgam fillings, dentists should use techniques and equipment to minimize the exposure of the patient and the dentist to mercury vapour, and to prevent amalgam waste from being flushed into municipal sewage systems.
- Dentists should advise individuals who may have allergic hypersensitivity to mercury to avoid the use of amalgam. In patients who have developed hypersensitivity to amalgam, existing amalgam restorations should be replaced with another material where this is recommended by a physician.
- New amalgam fillings should not be placed in contact with existing metal devices in the mouth such as braces.
- Dentists should provide their patients with sufficient information to make an informed choice regarding the material used to fill their teeth, including information on the risks and benefits of the material and suitable alternatives.
- Dentists should acknowledge the patient’s right to decline treatment with any dental material.

National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) Australia

In Australia the teeth of children and pregnant women are routinely filled with mercury amalgam, contravening the current NHMRC (2002) guidelines which state that amalgam should not be used for children, pregnant women, breast feeding women or people with kidney disease.

They do not suggest who amalgam is actually safe for.

Swedish Government Report - June 2003

Summary and conclusions

“The past five years’ research has yielded further evidence that amalgam can give rise to side-effects in a sensitive portion of the population. Thus: Research in molecular biology has elucidated mechanisms that may underlie the toxic effects of mercury.

- "Studies of the effects of mercury on the immune system in rodents have enhanced knowledge of the mechanisms whereby mercury affects the immune system. Clinical studies of occupationally exposed employees have objectively confirmed subclinical influence of mercury on the immune system at low levels of mercury exposure.
- "The thyroid has been identified as the target organ for the toxic effect of mercury in occupational exposure to mercury vapour in low doses.
- "Experimental studies of primates and rodents have revealed that mercury is accumulated and persists for years in the retina as a result of exposure to mercury vapour. The consequences of this accumulation are, however, unclear.
- "Clinical studies of the effects of mercury on occupationally exposed workers, using modern diagnostic methods, have elucidated the connection between dose and effect. They have also identified and quantified neuropsychological symptoms at low exposure levels.
- "The lowest exposure, in terms of urinary mercury secretion, that has been found to give rise to a demonstrable toxic effect has fallen from 30-50 mcg/l till 10-25 mcg/l.
- "Accordingly, **the safety margin that it was thought existed with respect to mercury exposure from amalgam has been erased.**
- "Studies Of Workers previously exposed to mercury have shown that prolonged exposure to mercury vapour, with mercury concentrations in urine of some 100 mcg/l, may result in symptoms emanating from the nervous system that persist decades after exposure has ceased. This suggests that **exposure causes lasting damage to the central nervous system**, which complicates the interpretation of results of low-dose studies of occupationally exposed populations.
- "Clinical reports of acute or subacute cases of mercury intoxication where modern diagnostic methods have been applied have revealed a remarkably high degree of polymorphism in human reactions to toxic mercury exposure.
- "Both animal experiments and clinical observations have demonstrated gender differences in the toxicokinetics of mercury.
- "Additional facts have come to light that may indicate that mercury vapour can affect human foetal development.
- "Clinical provocation studies, with exposure to small quantities of mercury through skin exposure or inhalation, have confirmed that individuals with deviant high sensitivity exist.

"With reference to the fact that mercury is a multipotent toxin with effects on several levels of the biochemical dynamics of the cell, **amalgam must be considered to be an unsuitable material for dental restoration.**

"This is especially true since fully adequate and less toxic alternatives are available.

"With reference to the **risk of inhibiting influence on the growing brain**, it is not compatible with science and well-tried experience to use amalgam fillings in **children and fertile women**. Every doctor and dentist should, where patients are suffering from unclear pathological states and autoimmune diseases, consider whether side-

effects from mercury released from amalgam may be one contributory cause of the symptoms.

The safety margin that it was thought existed with respect to mercury exposure from amalgam has been erased.” (*my emphasis*)

US EPA

Hazard Summary-Created in April 1992.

Revised in January 2000.⁵¹⁴

“Acute (short-term) exposure to high levels of elemental mercury in humans results in central nervous system (CNS) effects such as tremors, mood changes, and slowed sensory and motor nerve function.

“Chronic (long-term) exposure to elemental mercury in humans also affects the CNS, with effects such as erethism (increased excitability), irritability, excessive shyness, and tremors. Human studies are inconclusive regarding elemental mercury and cancer.”

“Elemental Mercury”

- “The CNS is the major target organ for elemental mercury toxicity in humans. Effects noted include erethism (increased excitability), irritability, excessive shyness, insomnia, severe salivation, gingivitis, and tremors.
- Chronic exposure to elemental mercury also affects the kidney in humans, with the development of proteinuria.
- Acrodynia is a rare syndrome found in children exposed to elemental mercury compounds. It is characterized by severe leg cramps, irritability, paresthesia (a sensation of prickling on the skin), and painful pink fingers and peeling hands, feet, and nose.
- EPA has not established a Reference Dose (RfD) for elemental mercury.
- The Reference Concentration (RfC) for elemental mercury is 0.0003 milligrams per cubic meter (mg/m³) based on CNS effects in humans. The RfC is an estimate (with uncertainty spanning perhaps an order of magnitude) of a continuous inhalation exposure to the human population (including sensitive subgroups) that is likely to be without appreciable risk of deleterious noncancer effects during a lifetime. It is not a direct estimator of risk but rather a reference point to gauge the potential effects. At exposures increasingly greater than the RfC, the potential for adverse health effects increases. Lifetime exposure above the RfC does not imply that an adverse health effect would necessarily occur.”

It makes me wonder what the dental associations base their guidelines upon. Theirs is not a position based on scientific research. It is not a position supported by any of the world's main regulatory bodies. Surely the dental students should be given information from respected government organizations and the WHO, rather than from the self-serving interests of the dental (trade) association.

Environmental Effects

I have touched briefly on the environmental hazard that amalgam poses. It is a substantial hazard although the dental profession generally tries to underplay this.

From the BBC – 10 January, 2005, we read; ²¹

“Exposure to the metal is linked to damage to the brain, nervous system and fertility with crematoria responsible for 16% of the UK's mercury pollution...”

In July 2007 Reuters News agency reported: ⁵¹⁵

“Amalgam waste is the biggest source of mercury in EU waste water and dental use also leads to the widespread dispersal of mercury into the atmosphere from cremation.

“In the UK dental amalgam and mercury from laboratory and medical devices, account for about 53 percent of total mercury emissions and annually 7.41 tons of mercury from amalgam are discharged to the sewer, atmosphere or land.”

Other countries including Austria, Belgium, Germany, Holland, Norway, Sweden and Switzerland have taken steps to regulate mercury emissions from crematoria.

“Researchers in Northampton have discovered that crematorium workers have twice the level of normal mercury contamination in their bodies.” ⁵¹⁶

The total amount of mercury in dental amalgam sold in the U.S. during the calendar year 2001, as reported to the IMERC member states, was 61,409 pounds or 30.7 tons. ⁵¹⁷ All of this mercury will of course be added to the environment.

“78% of American adults have dental fillings. If there are 200 million American adults that would mean that $.78 \times 200,000,000$ would give us 156 million American adults with dental fillings. If the average American adult has 8 fillings with 800 mg. of amalgam that gives us 3.2 grams of mercury (amalgams are 50% mercury) in their fillings per American adult. $3.2 \text{ g} \times 28\text{g/oz} \times 156 \text{ million} = 17,828,571 \text{ ounces of mercury} \times 1/16 \text{ (ounces per pound)} = 1,114,286 \text{ pounds of mercury or } 557 \text{ tons of mercury stored in our mouths.}$

This appears to be a much bigger problem than the mercury from the burning of coal.” ⁵¹⁸

Another paper puts a tentative monetary value on mercury (Hg) polluted food sources in the Arctic, where significant local sources of pollution are limited, and relates this to costs for strategies avoiding mercury pollution

"The cases we studied are relevant for point pollution sources globally and their remediation costs ranged between 2,500 and 1.1 million US dollars kg (-1) Hg isolated from the biosphere. Therefore, regulations discontinuing mercury uses combined with extensive flue gas cleaning for all power plants and waste incinerators is cost effective." ⁵¹⁹

The results from another study "demonstrate that humans, especially in populated areas, can be a significant source of mercury pollutants. As a consequence of mercury release, bacteria may acquire mercury resistance, as well as resistance to other antimicrobial agents, thus resulting in failure of antibiotic treatment." ⁵²⁰


Even the Californian Dental Association acknowledges that mercury from dental amalgam is an environmental hazard; ⁵²¹

"Mercury in the form of amalgam is commonly introduced into dental wastewater as a result of amalgam placements and removals.
... In some localities, the sewage sludge generated by POTWs (publicly owned treatment works) from the treatment of wastewater is incinerated, resulting in the emission of mercury to the atmosphere. Some of the mercury emitted from the incinerators is deposited locally or regionally and will enter surface waters.
... The annual use of mercury in amalgam placements conducted in California was estimated to be approximately 2.5 tons. The annual discharge of mercury in the form of amalgam from dental facilities to POTWs as a result of amalgam placements and removals was estimated as approximately one ton."

The Canadian Dental Association also takes a leap into being environmentally conscientious;

"... recent studies by health and environment experts have shown that mercury is of great concern when it enters the biosphere as a contaminant. A rational approach to pollution prevention is mandatory." ⁵²²

The only rational approach worth anything would be an immediate ban on the manufacture and use of this toxic implant material.



Elemental mercury is converted to methylmercury in the bodies of humans.^{523,524,525,526,527,528,529,530,531} This is the same form of mercury as found in seafood.

Mercury bio-accumulates up the food chain. "Methylmercury is particularly dangerous because it bio-accumulates in the environment. Bio-accumulation occurs when the methylmercury in fish tissue concentrates, as larger fish eat smaller fish. A 22-inch Northern Pike weighing two pounds can have a mercury concentration as much as 225,000 times as high as the surrounding water.... These concentrations are significant when one considers the potential toxic effects of methylmercury. Methylmercury interferes with the nervous system of the human body and can result in a decreased ability to walk, talk, see, and hear. In extreme examples, high levels of methylmercury consumption has resulted in coma or death.... Mercury can interfere with an animal's ability to reproduce, and lead to weight loss, or early death."⁵³²

Mercury pollution is a persistent and increasing problem in the environment. Along with some natural sources of the metal, major man-made sources of mercury pollution include incinerators, fossil fuel plants and municipal sewage systems.⁵³³

The EPA estimated that in 1989 approximately 643,000 kg of mercury was discarded as municipal solid waste, with 84% of it landfilled.⁵³⁴

Dental personnel should be aware that amalgamators may be contaminated with mercury and produce minute amounts of mercury vapor. These contaminated amalgamators may require disposal as environmentally hazardous waste.⁵³⁵

Mercury (Hg) release from dental offices has become an acute issue for the dental profession and has resulted in efforts by regulators to mandate both the use of Best Management Practices (BMPs) as well as the installation of amalgam separators.⁵³⁶

Concerns over the persistence and effects of mercury in the environment⁵³⁷, particularly in wastewater, have increased significantly over the past decade.^{538,539,83} In recent years the concern has affected dental practices and even educational curricula in the dental schools.⁵⁴⁰

About 60% of the waste generated during the removal of amalgams escaped the primary and secondary solids collectors and was released into the wastewater.⁵⁴¹

It was estimated that dentists in Ontario removed 1,880.32 kg of amalgam (940.16 kg of mercury) during 2002, of which 1,128.19 kg of amalgam (564.10 kg of mercury) would have been released into waste water in Ontario if no dentists had been using a separator.⁵⁴²

It has been estimated that the practicing dentists in the State of Illinois (6455) have the potential to generate 947 kg of mercury per year which is recyclable, and 144 kg of mercury which has the potential to be discarded into the environment or be partially recycled. If this approach is applied to the total population of practicing dentist in the United States (123,641), then 18,159 kg of recyclable mercury may be generated per year, whereas 2,763 kg of mercury may be discarded into the environment or be partially recycled.⁵⁴³

Dental Associations are forever talking about "minimizing" the environmental impact of mercury from amalgam.^{544, 545,546.}

Why do they talk about "minimizing" rather than *eliminating this environmental disaster*? It is critical that the use of dental mercury amalgam must cease immediately.

**The only place on this planet
that this toxic waste
is considered safe and effective
is in the mouth of a living human being!**

Dr. Fritz Lorschieder, professor of physiology at the Calgary Medical School, stated;⁵⁴⁷

**“given the evidence,
the continued use
of mercury dental amalgam fillings
is indefensible.”**

The only solution to this disaster is for you the Deans and Professors to immediately stop the teaching of mercury amalgam to dental students. It is the only professional, moral and ethical thing to do.

As a final word to the dental students,

You do have the right to be informed
You do have the right to be protected
You do have the right to Occupational Safety Laws and regulations
YOU DO HAVE THE RIGHT TO SAY NO to MERCURY

Yours sincerely,

Robert Gammal BDS (Syd. Uni. 1974)

Comment on: Children's Mercury Studies

Journal of the American Medical Association 2006

In 2006 two papers were published which supposedly showed that mercury from amalgam fillings had no effect on IQ levels of children. Both were published in the Journal of the American Medical Association.^{548 549}

Both are currently being used by the American Dental Associations to claim that amalgam fillings are safe;

"These studies support the existing scientific understanding that the minute amount of mercury released by amalgams during such common activities as eating and drinking does not affect health adversely. Both studies support the continued use of dental amalgam as an important treatment option."⁵⁵⁰

Both are currently being used by the Australian Dental Associations to claim that amalgam fillings are safe;

"Therefore, it would appear from the reports that (sic) were no statistically significant differences in neuropsychological and neurobehavioral effects among children who received amalgam fillings versus those treated with tooth-colored composite fillings."⁵⁵¹

Perhaps the dental associations did not read the editorial which accompanied these studies. The Editor of JAMA, Herbert L. Needleman MD writes:⁵⁵²

"Although the studies by Bellinger et al and by DeRouen et al provide important new data on the health effects of mercury containing dental amalgam in children, there are, as the authors clearly delineate, limits to the inferences that can be drawn from these data. It is predictable that some outside interests will expand the modest conclusions of these studies to assert that the use of mercury amalgam in dentistry is risk free. *This conclusion would be unfortunate and unscientific.*" (my italics)

These warnings seem to have no effect on the dental associations who have been charged with our wellbeing nor have they impeded them from "...expanding the modest conclusions of these studies".

Nine years (1997) prior though, a well respected study⁵⁵³ was published, that demonstrated the effect of mercury on the developing brain can cause neurological damage which may be irreversible.

"Postnatal mercury exposure up to adolescence, therefore also seems capable of damaging brain functions..."

“We have now found that mercury associated neurological changes are also linked to decreased nervous system control of the heart function. At higher mercury exposures, the children were less capable of maintaining the normal variability of the heart rate necessary to secure proper oxygen supply to the body and to maintain an appropriate blood pressure.”

The importance of brain functions means even a small deficit, whether measured as a decrease in IQ points or otherwise, is likely to impact on an individual's quality-of-life, academic success and economic prospects in life.”⁵⁵⁴

Compared to what the Swedish Report, mentioned earlier, states, it is incredible that an ethics committee of any university would approve of such an abusive and destructive study.

Quoting Dr David Kennedy DDS;

“... exposing children to a known neurotoxin is wrong. Flat wrong.” “The people who designed this study should be deeply ashamed of themselves.”

There is no longer any justification
to continue to poison people with mercury

I urge all dental students to question their Deans and Professors and hold them accountable for truth and integrity. Do not become another statistic.

References

- 1 US Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry
- 2 American Dental Association, 'Principle of ethics and code of professional conduct', Section I-J: Representation of care and fees, 211 E
- 3 Toxic Teeth: The Chronic Mercury Poisoning of Modern Man Murray J Vimy Chemistry & Industry 2 January 1995 17
- 4 Dr. Harold Loe, the Director of the National Institute of Dental Research (NIDR), stated in the September, 1993 edition of "Dental Products Report":
- 5 Quintessence Volume 26, Number 3, 1995
- 6
http://www.dep.state.fl.us/waste/quick_topics/publications/shw/mercury/AmalgamBMPsBrochure.pdf
 "There are three sources of scrap amalgam from dental operations:
 - Excess amalgam which is mixed, but not used; damaged capsules.
 - Amalgam from the operatory. This can be unused mixed amalgam or amalgam retrieved from operatory drain traps. Amalgam from operatory drain traps can be handled as scrap amalgam as long as the traps contain little or no tissue.
 - Amalgam contained in extracted teeth. Extracted teeth that have no amalgam would be regulated as medical waste and cannot be placed in the same container as extracted teeth with amalgam."
- 7 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) ADA News. 20(2):1,6. 16 January 1989.
- 8 Massachusetts Department of Public Health. Mercury and the hazards of vacuum cleaning. N Engl J Med (1975 Feb 13) 292(7):369
- 9 Deliens L De Deyn B [What efforts are being made by dentists in the Flemish region for the limiting of amalgam rejection? A study conducted on a representative sample of 373 Flemish dentists on the subject of amalgam waste: extent of the problem, waste management and foreseeable solutions]. Rev Belge Med Dent (1984) (1993) 48(4):35-43
- 10 Lonroth EC Shahnnavaz H Dental clinics--a burden to environment? Swed Dent J (1996) 20(5):173-81
- 11 Arenholt-Bindslev D Environmental aspects of dental filling materials. Eur J Oral Sci (1998 Apr) 106(2 Pt 2):713-20
- 12 Fan PL Arenholt-Bindslev D Schmalz G Halbach S Berendsen H Environmental issues in dentistry--mercury. FDI Commission. Int Dent J (1997 Apr) 47(2):105-9
- 13 Adegbembo AO Watson PA Estimated quantity of mercury in amalgam waste water residue released by dentists into the sewerage system in Ontario, Canada. J Can Dent Assoc (2004 Dec) 70(11):759, 759a-759f
- 14 Sutow EJ Hall GC MacLean CA Effectiveness of wet and dry mercury vapour suppressant systems in a faculty of dentistry clinic. J Oral Rehabil (2004 Aug) 31(8):822-6
- 15 Clarkson, T.W., Hursh, J.B., Sager, P.R., & Syversen, T.L.M., Biological monitoring of toxic metals', (Eds T.W. Clarkson, L. Friberg, G.F. Nordberg and P.R. Sager), New York: Plenum Press, 1988, 199-246
- 16 Vimy, M.J., & Lorscheider, F.L., J. Trace Elem. Exper Med., 1990,3, 111-23
- 17 World Health Organization 'Environmental health criteria 118, inorganic mercury', Geneva: WHO, 1991, 36
- 18 Stone ME Scott JW Schultz ST Berry DL Wilcoxon M Pivoni M Panno B Bordson G Comparison of chlorine and chloramine in the release of mercury from dental amalgam. Sci Total Environ (2009 Jan 1) 407(2):770-5

-
- 19 Roberts HW Charlton DG The release of mercury from amalgam restorations and its health effects: a review. *Oper Dent* (2009 Sep-Oct) 34(5):605-14
- 20 George GN Singh SP Hoover J Pickering IJ The chemical forms of mercury in aged and fresh dental amalgam surfaces. *Chem Res Toxicol* (2009 Nov) 22(11):1761-4
- 21 BBC Monday, 23 November, 1998, - <http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/health/220366.stm>
- 22 Health risks from exposure to mercury from crematoria. The Institute of Environmental Medicine, Karolinska Institute Report, 51M 1/92.
- 23 More mercury from crematoria : *Nature* 1990 Aug 16;346(6285):615.
- 24 Comment on: *Nature* 1990 Oct 18;347(6294):623 *Nature*. 1991 Feb 28; 349(6312)
- 25 International Academy of Oral Medicine and Toxicology
- 26 Nimmo A Werley MS Martin JS Tansy MF Particulate inhalation during the removal of amalgam restorations. *J Prosthet Dent* (1990 Feb) 63(2): 228-33
- 27 Tezel H Ertas OS Erakin C Kayali A Blood mercury levels of dental students and dentists at a dental school. *Br Dent J* (2001 Oct 27) 191(8):449-52
- 28 WHO & ATSDR Joint Statement on Mercury 2003 Elemental Mercury and Inorganic Mercury Human Health Aspect No 50. Based on the toxicological profile for mercury 1999 (update) published by the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry of the US Department of Health and Human Services.
- 29 L. Hahn et al, Distribution of mercury released from amalgam fillings into monkey tissues", *FASEB J.*, 1990, 4:5536
- 30 Maternal Fetal Distribution of Mercury (203Hg) Released From Dental Amalgam Fillings. Vimy, MJ; Takahashi, Y; Lorscheider, FL. *Amer J Physiol.*, 258(RICP 27):R93945, 1990.
- 31 Stortebecker, P. Mercury poisoning from dental amalgam through a direct nose-brain transport. *The Lancet*, May 27, 1989.
- 32 Arvidson, B *Acta Neurol Scand.* 82(4):234-7. Oct 1990.
- 33 Arvidson B. *Muscle Nerve.* 15(10):1089-1094, Oct 1992.
- 34 Aschner: *Acta Pharmacol Toxicol (Copenh)* (1986 Nov) 59(5):349-55
- 35 Retrograde Axonal Transport of Mercury in Primary Sensory Neurons Innervating the Tooth Pulp in the Rat. *Neurosci Lett.* 115(1):29-32. Jul 17, 1990
- 36 Baruah et al 1981 *Neurology (NY)* 31:612-616
- 37 Stortebecker. Mercury Poisoning from Dental Amalgam 1985
- 38 Stortebecker, P.. *The Lancet*, May 27, 1989.
- Patrick Stortebecker who was the Assoc Prof of Neural Surgery Karolinska. He also states "
- "This diffusion through biological membranes may partly account for the experimental finding that; 'the brain accumulates about 10 times more mercury after exposure to mercury vapour, compared to equal amounts of mercuric ions being injected or ingested.'
- 39 Hahn, L.J., et al, *FASEB J.*, 1989, 3, 2641-6
- 40 Hahn, L.J., et al, *ibid*, 1990, 4, 3256-60
- 41 Lorscheider, F.L., Vimy, M.J., and Summers, A.O. *FASEB Journal* (April 1995).
- 42 Magnus Nylander,. *ICBM* 1988
- 43 Svare CW et.al. *J. Dent. Res.*60(9):1668-1671,1981
- 44 Langan,Fan,Hoos. *JADA* Vol 115 December 1987., 867
- 45 Sam Queen; *Chronic Mercury Toxicity; New Hope Against an Endemic Disease.*

-
- 46 Vimy MJ, Lorscheider FL. J. Dent Res. 64(8):1069-1071.,1985
- 47 Berglund A, et al Determination of the rate of release of intra-oral mercury vapor from amalgam. J Dent Res; 67:1235-242. 1988
- 48 Abraham J, et al The effects of dental amalgam restorations on blood mercury levels. J. Dent. Res 63(1):71-73, 1984
- 49 Ott K et. al. Mercury burden due to amalgam fillings Dtsch. Zahnarztl Z 39(9):199-205, 1984
- 50 Vimy, M.J., & Lorscheider, F.L., J. Trace Elem. Exper. Med., 1990, 3, 111-23
- 51 Skinner & Phillips (5th edition), Dec. 1964, Ch. 21, P.349
- 52 Till, T. and Maly. K., Mercury in tooth roots and in Jaw bones. ZRW. 87 (6) : 288-290 1978
- 53 M. Hanson J Orthomolecular Psychiatry 1983, 12: 194-201
- 54 MacDonald, W., Chemical and ElectroGalvanic Burns of Tongue, New Eng. J. Med., Sept.
- 55 Lain, E.S., and Caughron, G.S., ElectroGalvanic phenomena of Oral Cavity Caused by Dissimilar Metallic Restorations. JADA, 23:1641, September 1936. 8
- 56 Gross, M. J., and Harrison, J A. (1989) Some electrochemical features of the in vivo corrosion of dental amalgam. J. of Appl. Electrochem. 19,301-310
- 57 Galvanic currents between gold and amalgam Holland RI Scand J Dent res 88 1980 269
- 58 An in vitro study of galvanic currents between amalgam and gold alloy electrodes in saliva and in saline solutions Johansson BI Scand J Dent Res 94 1986 562
- 59 Galvanic corrosion of prosthodontic alloys with high and low Ag content high Cu amalgams Vougiouklakis G, stathopoulos A, Yfantis D & Batis G J Dent res 68 spec Iss 998, abstr 1047 1989
- 60 When traditional oriental or modern medicine fail: Could dental amalgams be contributing to our declining health? Royal FF Am J Acupunt; 18 (3). 1990. 205-211.
- 61 Release of mercury vapor from corroding amalgam in vitro. Holland RI Dent Mater 1993 Mar;9(2):99-103
- 62 Effect of mercury on corrosion of eta' Cu-Sn phase in dental amalgams. Ogletree RH; Marek M Dent Mater 1995 Sep;11(5):332-6
- 63 Galvanic corrosion and cytotoxic effects of amalgam and gallium alloys coupled to titanium. Bumgardner JD; Johansson BI European Journal of Oral Sciences; 104 (3) p300-308 JUN 1996
- 64 Effects of titanium-dental restorative alloy galvanic couples on cultured cells. Bumgardner JD; Johansson BI Journal of Biomedical Materials Research; 43 (2) p184-191 SUM 1998
- 65 Holland RI Galvanic currents between gold and amalgam Scand J Dent Res 88 1980 269-72 (E27)
- 66 Senia ES & Bales DJ Dental pain of galvanic origin: report of case J Endodontics 3 1977 280-1 (E49)
- 67 Matts Hanson ICBM 1989
- 68 Mortazavi SM Daiee E Yazdi A Khiabani K Kavousi A Vazirinejad R Behnejad B Ghasemi M Mood MB Mercury release from dental amalgam restorations after magnetic resonance imaging and following mobile phone use. Pak J Biol Sci (2008 Apr 15) 11(8):1142-6
- 69 Oskoe PA Kahnnamoui MA Oskoe SS Zadfattah F Pournaghi-Azar F Effects of in-office and home bleaching gels on the surface mercury levels of dental amalgam. Eur J Dent (2010 Jan) 4(1):23-7
- 70 Al-Salehi SK Effects of bleaching on mercury ion release from dental amalgam. J Dent Res (2009 Mar) 88(3):239-43
- 71 Gurgan S Kiremitci A Yalcin F Alpaslan T Yazici E Effect of carbamide peroxide treatments on the metal-ion release and microstructure of different dental amalgams. Oper Dent (2007 Sep-Oct) 32(5):476-81
- 72 Al-Salehi SK Hatton PV McLeod CW Cox AG The effect of hydrogen peroxide concentration on metal ion release

-
- from dental amalgam. *J Dent* (2007 Feb) 35(2):172-6
- 73 Rotstein I Avron Y Shemesh H Dogan H Mor C Steinberg D Factors affecting mercury release from dental amalgam exposed to carbamide peroxide bleaching agent. *Am J Dent* (2004 Oct) 17(5):347-50
- 74 Steinberg D Blank O Rotstein I Influence of dental biofilm on release of mercury from amalgam exposed to carbamide peroxide. *J Biomed Mater Res B Appl Biomater* (2003 Oct 15) 67(1):627-31
- 75 Certosimo A Robertello F Dishman M Bogacki R Wexel M The effect of bleaching agents on mercury release from spherical dental amalgam. *Gen Dent* (2003 Jul-Aug) 51(4):356-9; quiz 360
- 76 Rotstein I Dogan H Avron Y Shemesh H Steinberg D Mercury release from dental amalgam after treatment with 10% carbamide peroxide in vitro. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* (2000 Feb) 89(2):216-9
- 77 Rotstein I Dogan H Avron Y Shemesh H Mor C Steinberg D Protective effect of Copalite surface coating on mercury release from dental amalgam following treatment with carbamide peroxide. *Endod Dent Traumatol* (2000 Jun) 16(3):107-10
- 78 World Health Organization. 1991. Inorganic Mercury. *Environmental Health Criteria* 1 18. International Program on Chemical Safety. (Geneva).
- 79 Pizzichini M Fonzi M Gasparoni A Fonzi L Salivary mercury levels in healthy donors with and without amalgam fillings. *Bull Group Int Rech Sci Stomatol Odontol* (2000 May-Dec) 42(2-3):88-93
- 80 Ganss C Gottwald B Traenckner I Kupfer J Eis D Monch J Gieler U Klimek J Relation between mercury concentrations in saliva, blood, and urine in subjects with amalgam restorations. *Clin Oral Investig* (2000 Dec) 4(4):206-11
- 81 Ohmoto K Nakajima H Ferracane JL Shintani H Okabe T Mercury evaporation from amalgams with varied mercury contents. *Dent Mater J* (2000 Sep) 19(3):211-20
- 82 Pizzichini M Fonzi M Gasparoni A Mencarelli M Rocchi G Kaitsas V Fonzi L Influence of amalgam fillings on Hg levels and total antioxidant activity in plasma of healthy donors. *Bull Group Int Rech Sci Stomatol Odontol* (2001 May-Sep) 43(2):62-7
- 83 Leistevo J Leistevo T Helenius H Pyy L Osterblad M Huovinen P Tenovuo J Dental amalgam fillings and the amount of organic mercury in human saliva. *Caries Res* (2001 May-Jun) 35(3):163-6
- 84 Geijersstam E Sandborgh-Englund G Jonsson F Ekstrand J Mercury uptake and kinetics after ingestion of dental amalgam. *J Dent Res* (2001 Sep) 80(9):1793-6
- 85 Khordi-Mood M Sarraf-Shirazi AR Balali-Mood M Urinary mercury excretion following amalgam filling in children. *J Toxicol Clin Toxicol* (2001) 39(7):701-5
- 86 Galic N Prpic-Mehiic G Prester LJ Krnic Z Blanusa M Erceg D Elimination of mercury from amalgam in rats. *J Trace Elem Med Biol* (2001) 15(1):1-4
- 87 Montomoli L Sisinni AG Cioni F Barabesi L Gilberti ME Festa D Sartorelli P [Evaluation of sources of mercury absorption through studying urinary mercury levels in the general population] *Med Lav* (2002 May-Jun) 93(3):184-8
- 88 Apostoli P Colombi A Buratti M Elia G Flore C Carta P Ibba A Cortesi I Mangili A Alessio L [Evaluation of the dose of mercury in exposed and control subjects] *Med Lav* (2002 May-Jun) 93(3):159-75
- 89 Gabrio T Benedikt G Broser S Felder-Kennel A Fichtner G Horras-Hun G Jovanovic S Kirsch H Kouros B Link B Maisner V Piechotowski I Rzonca E Schick KH Schrimpf M Schroder S Schwenk M Spoker-Maas K Weidner U Wuthe J Zollner I [10 years of observation by public health offices in Baden-Wuerttemberg--assessment of human biomonitoring for mercury due to dental amalgam fillings and other sources] *Gesundheitswesen* (2003 May) 65(5):327-35

-
- 90 Pizzichini M Fonzi M Giannerini F Mencarelli M Gasparoni A Rocchi G Kaitsas V Fonzi L Influence of amalgam fillings on Hg levels and total antioxidant activity in plasma of healthy donors. *Sci Total Environ* (2003 Jan 1) 301(1-3):43-50
- 91 Joska L Marek M Passivation of dental amalgams and mercury release. *Acta Medica (Hradec Kralove)* (2004) 47(4):243-8
- 92 Vamnes JS Lygre GB Gronningsaeter AG Gjerdet NR Four years of clinical experience with an adverse reaction unit for dental biomaterials. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol* (2004 Apr) 32(2):150-7
- 93 Hansen G Victor R Engeldinger E Schweitzer C Evaluation of the mercury exposure of dental amalgam patients by the Mercury Triple Test. *Occup Environ Med* (2004 Jun) 61(6):535-40
- 94 Dye BA Schober SE Dillon CF Jones RL Fryar C McDowell M Sinks TH Urinary mercury concentrations associated with dental restorations in adult women aged 16-49 years: United States, 1999-2000. *Occup Environ Med* (2005 Jun) 62(6):368-75
- 95 Guzzi G Grandi M Cattaneo C Calza S Minoia C Ronchi A Gatti A Severi G Dental amalgam and mercury levels in autopsy tissues: food for thought. *Am J Forensic Med Pathol* (2006 Mar) 27(1):42-5
- 96 Clarkson TW Vyas JB Ballatori N Mechanisms of mercury disposition in the body. *Am J Ind Med* (2007 Oct) 50(10):757-64
- 97 Bjorkman L Lundekvam BF Laegreid T Bertelsen BI Morild I Lilleng P Lind B Palm B Vahter M Mercury in human brain, blood, muscle and toenails in relation to exposure: an autopsy study. *Environ Health* (2007) 6:30
- 98 Woods JS Martin MD Leroux BG DeRouen TA Leitão JG Bernardo MF Luis HS Simmonds PL Kushleika JV Huang Y The contribution of dental amalgam to urinary mercury excretion in children. *Environ Health Perspect* (2007 Oct) 115(10):1527-31
- 99 Campus G Garcia-Godoy F Gaspa L Panzanelli A Piu PC Micera G Luglie P Sanna G Dependence of kinetic variables in the short-term release of Hg²⁺, Cu²⁺ and Zn²⁺ ions into synthetic saliva from an high-copper dental amalgam. *J Mater Sci Mater Med* (2007 Aug) 18(8):1521-7
- 100 Nur Ozdabak H Karaoglanoglu S Akgul N Polat F Seven N The effects of amalgam restorations on plasma mercury levels and total antioxidant activity. *Arch Oral Biol* (2008 Dec) 53(12):1101-6
- 101 Melchart D Kohler W Linde K Zilker T Kremers L Saller R Halbach S Biomonitoring of mercury in patients with complaints attributed to dental amalgam, healthy amalgam bearers, and amalgam-free subjects: a diagnostic study. *Clin Toxicol (Phila)* (2008 Feb) 46(2):133-40
- 102 Dunn JE Trachtenberg FL Barregard L Bellingier D McKinlay S Scalp hair and urine mercury content of children in the Northeast United States: the New England Children's Amalgam Trial. *Environ Res* (2008 May) 107(1):79-88
- 103 Harris HH Vogt S Eastgate H Legnini DG Hornberger B Cai Z Lai B Lay PA Migration of mercury from dental amalgam through human teeth. *J Synchrotron Radiat* (2008 Mar) 15(Pt 2):123-8
- 104 Brigato R de C Costa LM da Costa MR Assis NM Kubo CH Mercury, copper, and zinc concentrations in extracted human teeth. *Arch Environ Occup Health* (2009 Winter) 64(4):266-9
- 105 Fakour H Esmaili-Sari A Zayeri F scalp hair and saliva as biomarkers in determination of mercury levels in Iranian women: amalgam as a determinant of exposure. *J Hazard Mater* (2010 May 15) 177(1-3):109-13
- 106 Geier DA Carmody T Kern JK King PG Geier MR A significant relationship between mercury exposure from dental amalgams and urinary porphyrins: a further assessment of the Casa Pia children's dental amalgam trial. *Biometals* (2011 Apr) 24(2):215-24

-
- 107 Woods JS Martin MD Leroux BG DeRouen TA Bernardo MF Luis HS Leitao JG Simmonds PL Echeverria D Rue TC Urinary porphyrin excretion in children with mercury amalgam treatment: findings from the Casa Pia Children's Dental Amalgam Trial. *J Toxicol Environ Health A* (2009) 72(14):891-6
- 108 Levy M Schwartz S Djak M Weber JP Tardif R Rouah F Childhood urine mercury excretion: dental amalgam and fish consumption as exposure factors. *Environ Res* (2004 Mar) 94(3):283-90
- 109 Sandborgh-Englund G Einarsson C Sandstrom M Ekstrand J Gastrointestinal absorption of metallic mercury. *Arch Environ Health* (2004 Sep) 59(9):449-54
- 110 Pizzichini M Fonzi M Sugherini L Fonzi L Gasparoni A Comporti M Pompella A Release of mercury from dental amalgam and its influence on salivary antioxidant activity. *Sci Total Environ* (2002 Feb 4) 284(1-3):19-25
- 111 Pizzichini M Fonzi M Sugherini L Fonzi L Comporti M Gasparoni A
Pompella A
Release of mercury from dental amalgam and its influence on salivary antioxidant activity. *Bull Group Int Rech Sci Stomatol Odontol* (2000 May-Dec) 42(2-3):94-100
- 112 Nylander M Friberg L Eggleston D Bjorkman L Mercury accumulation in tissues from dental staff and controls in relation to exposure. *Swed Dent J* (1989) 13(6):235-43
- 113 Joshi A Douglass CW Kim HD Joshipura KJ Park MC Rimm EB Carino MJ Garcia RI Morris JS Willett WC The relationship between amalgam restorations and mercury levels in male dentists and nondental health professionals. *J Public Health Dent* (2003 Winter) 63(1):52-60
- 114 Morton J Mason HJ Ritchie KA White M Comparison of hair, nails and urine for biological monitoring of low level inorganic mercury exposure in dental workers. *Biomarkers* (2004 Jan-Feb) 9(1):47-55
- 115 Ritchie KA Burke FJ Gilmour WH Macdonald EB Dale IM Hamilton RM McGowan DA Binnie V Collington D Hammersley R Mercury vapour levels in dental practices and body mercury levels of dentists and controls. *Br Dent J* (2004 Nov 27) 197(10):625-32; discussion 621
- 116 Karahalil B Rahravi H Ertas N Examination of urinary mercury levels in dentists in Turkey. *Hum Exp Toxicol* (2005 Aug) 24(8):383-8
- 117 Paksoy CS Gorgun S Nalcaci R Yagbasan A Assessment of blood mercury levels in practicing Turkish clinicians, dental students, and dental nurses. *Quintessence Int* (2008 Apr) 39(4):e173-8
- 118 Chaari N Kerkeni A Saadeddine S Neffati F Khalfallah T Akrouf M [Mercury impregnation in dentists and dental assistants in Monastir city, Tunisia] L'impregnation mercurielle des dentistes et de leurs assistants dans la ville de Monastir, Tunisie. *Rev Stomatol Chir Maxillofac* (2009 Jun) 110(3):139-44
- 119 de Oliveira MT Pereira JR Ghizoni JS Bittencourt ST Molina GO Effects from exposure to dental amalgam on systemic mercury levels in patients and dental school students. *Photomed Laser Surg* (2010 Oct) 28 Suppl 2:S111-4
- 120 Svendsen K Syversen T Melo I Hilt B Historical exposure to mercury among Norwegian dental personnel. *Scand J Work Environ Health* (2010 May) 36(3):231-41
- 121 Zolfaghari G Esmaili-Sari A Ghasempouri SM Faghizadeh S Evaluation of environmental and occupational exposure to mercury among Iranian dentists. *Sci Total Environ* (2007 Aug 1) 381(1-3):59-67
- 122 Harakeh S Sabra N Kassak K Doughan B Factors influencing total mercury levels among Lebanese dentists. *Sci Total Environ* (2002 Oct 7) 297(1-3):153-60
- 123 Brown D A study of the mercury levels in Scottish dentists. *Br Dent J* (2004 Nov 27) 197(10):621

-
- 124 Atesagaoglu A Omurlu H Ozcagli E Sardas S Ertas N Mercury exposure in dental practice. *Oper Dent* (2006 Nov-Dec) 31(6):666-9
- 125 Sarr M Kane AW Toure B Faye B Faye D Ndoye NN [Risk behavior associated with the manipulation of dental amalgam in Senegal] Etude des comportements a risque lies a la manipulation des amalgames dentaires au Senegal. *Odontostomatol Trop* (2005 Mar) 28(109):23-7
- 126 Karahalil B Rahravi H Ertas N Examination of urinary mercury levels in dentists in Turkey. *Hum Exp Toxicol* (2005 Aug) 24(8):383-8
- 127 Sawair FA Hassoneh Y Jamleh AO Al-Rabab'ah M Observance of proper mercury hygiene practices by Jordanian general dental practitioners. *Int J Occup Med Environ Health* (2010) 23(1):47-54
- 128 Udoye C Aguwa E Amalgam safety and dentists' attitude: a survey among a Subpopulation of Nigerian dentists. *Oper Dent* (2008 Jul-Aug) 33(4):467-71
- 129 Gioda A Hanke G Elias-Boneta A Jimenez-Velez B A pilot study to determine mercury exposure through vapor and bound to PM10 in a dental school environment. *Toxicol Ind Health* (2007 Mar) 23(2):103-13
- 130 Bake MIa Aulika BV Luse Iiu [Risk for the influence of a chemical factor on dentists' health] Risk vliianiia khimicheskogo faktora na zdorov'e stomatologov. *Gig Sanit* (2002 Jul-Aug)(4):29-30
- 131 Cianciola ME Echeverria D Martin MD Aposian HV Woods JS Epidemiologic assessment of measures used to indicate low-level exposure to mercury vapor (Hg). *J Toxicol Environ Health* (1997 Sep) 52(1):19-33
- 132 Urban P Lukas E Nerudova J Cabelkova Z Cikrt M Neurological and electrophysiological examinations on three groups of workers with different levels of exposure to mercury vapors. *Eur J Neurol* (1999 Sep) 6(5):571-7
- 133 Kostyniak PJ Mercury as a potential hazard for the dental practitioner. *N Y State Dent J* (1998 Apr) 64(4):40-3
- 134 Aposhian, H V *environ Health Perspect* 1998
- 135 Rojas M Guevara H Rincon R Rodriguez M Olivet C [Occupational exposure and health effects of metallic mercury among dentists and dental assistants: a preliminary study. Valencia, Venezuela; 1998] *Acta Cient Venez* (2000) 51(1):32-8
- 136 Cianciola ME Echeverria D Martin MD Aposian HV Woods JS Epidemiologic assessment of measures used to indicate low-level exposure to mercury vapor (Hg). *J Toxicol Environ Health* (1997 Sep) 52(1):19-33
- 137 Lönroth E-C Shahnava H Amalgam in Dentistry A Health Hazard for Dental Personnel? *Int J Occup Saf & Ergonom* 1997, VOL. 3, NO. 3-4, 151-160
- 138 Warfvinge K Mercury exposure of a female dentist before pregnancy *Br Dent J* 178:4 1995 149-52
- 139 Wirz J; Iff M; Schmidli F (Ger) Schweiz Monatsschr Zahnmed Mercury vapors from premeasured amalgam capsules Quecksilberdampfe aus vordosierten Amalgamkapseln. 1993; 103(8):958-64
- 140 Zander D; Ewers U; Freier I; Brockhaus A Studies on Human Exposure to Mercury .4. Urine Mercury Levels in Dental Personnel. *Zentralblatt Fur Hygiene und Umweltmedizin*; 193 (4) 318-328 1992
- 141 Votaw A, Zey Vacuuming a mercury contaminated dental office can be hazardous to your health *J Dental Assistant* 60(1) 1991 27-9
- 142 Akesson, et al *Archives of Environmental Health*, March-April 1991 v46 n2 p102(8)
- 143 Chang SB Siew C Gruninger SE Factors affecting blood mercury concentrations in practicing dentists. *J Dent Res* (1992 Jan) 71(1):66-74

-
- 144 Ott KH Grimmeisen J Alt F Messerschmidt J Tolg G [Mercury in the hair of dentists and dental personnel] Quecksilber im Haar von zahnärztlichem Personal. Dtsch Zahnarztl Z (1991 Feb) 46(2):154-8
- 145 Nylander M & Weiner J Mercury and selenium concentrations and their interrelations in organs from dental staff and the general population Br J Ind Med 48 1991 729
- 146 Air purifiers can help in the battle against mercury vapor. Dent Today (1991 Oct) 10(8):24-5
- 147 Lonnroth EC; Shahnava H Amalgam in dentistry. A survey of methods used at dental clinics in Norrbotten to decrease exposure to mercury vapour. Swed Dent J 1995; 19(1-2):55-64
- 148 Woods JS Martin MD Naleway CA Echeverria D Urinary porphyrin profiles as a biomarker of mercury exposure: studies on dentists with occupational exposure to mercury vapor. J Toxicol Environ Health (1993 Oct-Nov) 40(2-3):235-46
- 149 Martin MD Naleway C Chou HN Factors contributing to mercury exposure in dentists. J Am Dent Assoc (1995 Nov) 126(11):1502-11
- 150 Steinberg D Grauer F Niv Y Perlyte M Kopolovic K Mercury levels among dental personnel in Israel: a preliminary study. Isr J Med Sci (1995 Jul) 31(7):428-32
- 151 Ott KH Grimmeisen J Alt F Messerschmidt J Tolg G [Mercury in the hair of dentists and dental personnel] Quecksilber im Haar von zahnärztlichem Personal. Dtsch Zahnarztl Z (1991 Feb) 46(2):154-8
- ISSN: 0012-1029 (Published in German)
- 152 Pohl L Bergman M The dentist's exposure to elemental mercury vapor during clinical work with amalgam. Acta Odontol Scand (1995 Feb) 53(1):44-8
- 153 Skare I Engqvist A Urinary mercury clearance of dental personnel after a longterm intermission in occupational exposure. Swed Dent J (1990) 14(6):255-9
- 154 Nilsson B Gerhardsson L Nordberg GF Urine mercury levels and associated symptoms in dental personnel. Sci Total Environ (1990 May 15) 94(3):179-85
- 155 Wirz J Ivanovic D Schmidli F [Mercury loading from amalgam fillings] Schweiz Monatsschr Zahnmed (1990) 100(11):1292-8
- 156 Skare I Bergstrom T Engqvist A Weiner JA Mercury exposure of different origins among dentists and dental nurses. Scand J Work Environ Health (1990 Oct) 16(5):340-7
- 157 Molin M Marklund SL Bergman B Nilsson B Mercury, selenium, and glutathione peroxidase in dental personnel. Acta Odontol Scand (1989 Dec) 47(6):383-90
- 158 Nilsson, B, Gerhardsson L & Nordberg GF Urine mercury levels and associated symptoms in dental personnel Sci Total Envir 94(3) 1990 179-85
- 159 Jokstad A Mercury excretion and occupational exposure of dental personnel Commun Dent Oral Epidemiol 18(3) 1990 143-8
- 160 Haikel Y; Gasser P; Salek P; Voegel JC Exposure to mercury vapor during setting, removing, and polishing amalgam restorations. J Biomed Mater Res 1990 Nov; 24(11):1551-8
- 161 Gerbardsson L, Brune DK. 1989. Mercury in dentistry. Brune DK, Edling C, eds. Occupational Hazards in the Health Professions. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press, Inc., 307-321.
- 162 Koopiroj K [Mercury in hair of the dentist] J Dent Assoc Thai (1986 Nov-Dec) 36(6):217-22
- 163 Kim DE Song KB Kim YJ [Mercury contents in hair of dental personnel and evaluation of various agents suppressing mercury vaporization] Taehan Chikkwa Uisa Hyophoe Chi (1989 Jul) 27(7):649-59
- 164 Lehto T Alanen P Ronnema T Helenius H Kallio V Urinary mercury concentrations in Finnish dentists. Proc Finn Dent Soc (1989) 85(3):177-85
- 165 Scarlett JM Gutenmann WH Lisk DJ A study of mercury in the hair of dentists and dental-related professionals in 1985 and subcohort comparison of 1972 and 1985 mercury hair levels. J Toxicol Environ Health (1988) 25(3):373-81

-
- 166 Saengsirinavin C Pringsulaka P [Mercury levels in urine and head hair of dental personnel] *J Dent Assoc Thai* (1988 Jul-Aug) 38(4):170-9
- 167 Chang SB Siew C Gruninger SE Examination of blood levels of mercurials in practicing dentists using cold-vapor atomic absorption spectrometry. *J Anal Toxicol* (1987 Jul-Aug) 11(4):149-53
- 168 Herber RF de Gee AJ Wibowo AA Exposure of dentists and assistants to mercury: mercury levels in urine and hair related to conditions of practice. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol* (1988 Jun) 16(3):153-8
- 169 Seckin MA Aygun S Ataman OY Determination and speciation of mercury in a dental work-place by cold vapour atomic absorption spectrometry and gas-liquid chromatography. *Int J Environ Anal Chem* (1986) 26(1):1-17 ISSN: 0306-7319
- 170 Kroncke A Ott K Petschelt A Schaller KH Szecsi M Valentin H [Mercury concentrations in blood and urine in persons with and without amalgam fillings] *Über die Quecksilberkonzentrationen in Blut und Urin von Personen mit und ohne Amalgamfüllungen. Dtsch Zahnärztl Z* (1980 Aug) 35(8):803-8
- 171 Ochoa, R. and Miller RW. Report on independent survey taken of Austin dental offices for mercury contamination. *Texas Dent. J.* 1983
- 172 Jones DW, Sutton EJ, and Milner EL Survey of mercury vapour in dental offices in Atlantic Canada. *Can. Dent. Assoc. J.* 4906:378-395, 1983
- 173 Gordon HP, Cordon LD: reduction in mercury vapour levels in Seattle dental offices. *J Dent Res Abstract* 1092 57A:347, 1981
- 174 RoydhouseRH. FergMR . and Knox RP. Mercury in dental offices *J Can Dent Assoc* 51(2):156-158, 1985
- 175 Chop GF. and Kaufman EG. Mercury vapour related to manipulation of amalgam and to floor surfaces. *Oper Dent.* 8(1):23-27,1983
- 176 Skuba, A. Survey for mercury vapour in Manitoba dental offices *J Can. Dent. Assoc.* 50(7):517-522, 1984
- 177 Kantor,L. and Woodcock C, Mercury vapour in the dental office-does carpeting make a difference? *JADA*103(9):402-407,1981
- 178 Francis PC Birge WJ Roberts BL Black JA Mercury content of human hair: a survey of dental personnel. *J Toxicol Environ Health* (1982 Oct-Nov) 10(4-5):667-72
- 179 Naleway C Sakaguchi R Mitchell E Muller T Ayer WA Hefferren JJ Urinary mercury levels in US dentists, 1975-1983: review of Health Assessment Program. *J Am Dent Assoc* (1985 Jul) 111(1):37-42
- 180 Littner MM Kaffe I Tamse A Occupational hazards in the dental office and their control. II. Measures for controlling mercury intoxication. *Quintessence Int Dent Dig* (1983 Feb) 14(2):221-4
- 181 Ship II Shapiro IM Preventing mercury poisoning in dental practice. *Anesth Prog* (1983 May-Jun) 30(3):76-8
- 182 de Freitas JF Mercury in the dental work-place: an assessment of the health hazard and safeguards. *Aust Dent J* (1981 Jun) 26(3):156-61
- 183 Okpo HE Fodeke V Mercury levels in urine of dental personnel in Lagos, Nigeria. *Odontostomatol Trop* (1982 Dec) 5(4):197-200
- 184 Lowe JM Mercury vapor exposure in the dental office. *Dent Hyg (Chic)* (1980 Mar) 54(3):118-20
- 185 Anderson PE Study shows long-term mercury exposure harmful to dentists, not patients. *Dent Econ* (1983 May) 73(5):65-6, 68
- 186 Ship II Shapiro IM Mercury poisoning in dental practice. *Compend Contin Educ Dent* (1983 Mar-Apr) 4(2):107-10
- 187 Hosein HR Hord AB Smith DC An evaluation of environmental and biological mercury levels in the Faculty of Dentistry, University of Toronto. *Ont Dent* (1980 Feb) 57(2):7-9, 10

-
- 188 Mercury leakage during amalgam trituration. Brown JW; Hosein HR; Horwood JH *J Can Dent Assoc* 1984 Mar; 50(3):234-6
- 189 Brune D Hensten-Pettersen A Beltesbrekke H Exposure to mercury and silver during removal of amalgam restorations. *Scand J Dent Res* (1980 Oct) 88(5):460-3
- 190 Bloch P Shapiro IM An x-ray fluorescence technique to measure the mercury burden of dentists in vivo. *Med Phys* (1981 May-Jun) (3):308-11
- 191 Roth GD Green VA Student and faculty urinary mercury levels. *J Dent Res* (1972 Sep-Oct) 51(5):1515
- 192 Gregory DE Head TW Mercury levels in McGill dental students. *J Dent Que* (1975 Oct) 12(10):10-
- 193 Blum J Webster I A survey of mercury absorption in Johannesburg dental personnel. *J Dent Assoc S Afr* (1979 Jan) 34(1):5-8
- 194 McGinnis JP Mincer HH Hembree JH Mercury vapor exposure in a dental school environment. *J Am Dent Assoc* (1974 Apr) 88(4):785-8
- 195 Roydhouse RH Mercury hazard [letter] *Aust Dent J* (1979 Aug) 24(4):273-4
- 196 Vandenberg J Moodie AS Keller RE Keller RE Jr Blood serum mercury test report. *J Am Dent Assoc* (1977 Jun) 94(6):1155-7
- 197 Cutright D.E., Miller R.A. and Battistone G.C.: Systemic Mercury Levels Caused by Inhaling Mist During High-Speed Amalgam Grinding. *J. Oral Med.* 28, 100, 1973
- 198 Mayer R [Work-hygienic studies connected with the manipulation of silver-tin-mercury alloys at the dentists working place] *Dtsch Zahnarztl Z* (1975 Mar) 30(3):181-8
- 199 Pritchard: *Br Dent J* (1982 Nov 2) 153(9):333-6 The prevalence of high levels of mercury in dentists' hair.
- 200 Koopairoj K Panyagnarm Y [The urinary mercury level in dental students and dentists] *J Dent Assoc Thai* (1983 Nov-Dec) 33(6):271-80
- 201 Manlyla DG, Wright OD: Mercury toxicity in the dental of fice: a neglected problem. *JADA* 92:1189-94,1976,
- 202 Blocll P. Shapiro IM: Summary of the international conference on mercury hazards in dental practice. *JADA* 104:489-90, 1982.
- 203 Lyer K, Goodgold J. Eberstein A, Berg P: Mercury poisoning in a dentist. *Arch Neurol* 33:788-90, 1976.
- 204 Merfield DP, Taylor A, Gemmell DM, Parrish JA: Mercury intoxication in a dental surgery following unreported spillagc. *BrilDentJ* 141:179-86,1976.
- 205 Zweben LL: Mercury poisoning: A case history. *J New Jersey Dent Assoc* 10-1, Winter 1978.
- 206 Cook TA, Yates PO: Fatal mercury intoxication in dental surgery assistant. *Br Dent J* 127:553-5,1969.
- 207 Morton J Mason HJ Ritchie KA White M Comparison of hair, nails and urine for biological monitoring of low level inorganic mercury exposure in dental workers. *Biomarkers* (2004 Jan-Feb) 9(1):47-55
- 208 Moszczyński P Moszczyński P [Health damage due to exposure to mercury vapour (Hg)] *Szkody zdrowotne wywołane narazieniem na pary rtęci (Hg)*. *Czas Stomatol* (1989 Apr) 42(4):233-8
- 209 Newman SM The relationship of metals to the general health of the patient, the dentist and office staff. *Int Dent J* (1986 Mar) 36(1):35-40
- 210 Stone ME Pederson ED Cohen ME Ragain JC Karaway RS Auxer RA Saluta AR Residual mercury content and leaching of mercury and silver from used amalgam capsules. *Dent Mater* (2002 Jun) 18(4):289-94
- 211 Battistone GC Hefferren JJ Miller RA Cutright DE Mercury: its relation to the dentist's health and dental practice characteristics. *J Am Dent Assoc* (1976 Jun) 92(6):1182-8

-
- 212 Norseth J [Exposure to mercury in public dental clinics in Oslo—an occupational hazard evaluation] *Kvikksolveksposisjonen pa offentlige tannklinikker i Oslo--en yrkeshygienisk vurdering. Nor Tannlaegeforen Tid* (1977 Sep) 87(8):371-6
- 213 Sinclair PM Turner PR Johns RB Mercury levels in dental students and faculty measured by neutron activation analysis. *J Prosthet Dent* (1980 May) 43(5):581-5
- 214 Kessel R Bencze K Hamm M Sonnabend E [Mercury concentrations in the air, in the blood and urine of dentists in dental clinics and free practice] *Untersuchungen uber die Quecksilber-Konzentrationen in der Raumluft, im Blut und im Urin bei zahnarztlicher Tatigkeit in Klinik und freier Praxis. Dtsch Zahnarztl Z* (1980 Apr) 35(4):457-61
- 215 Sinclair PM Turner PR Johns RB Mercury levels in dental students and faculty measured by neutron activation analysis. *J Prosthet Dent* (1980 May) 43(5):581-5
- 216 Visser H Pieper K Isemann M Stalder K [A prospective study on the incidence of mercury levels in dental students. 2. Correlation analysis] (1991 Aug) 46(8):555-7 ISSN: 0012-1029 (Published in German)
- 217 Pieper K Visser H Isemann M Stalder K [Prospective study on the mercury uptake of dental students. Part 1: Increase in mercury excretion during simulated training] *Eine prospektive Untersuchungen uber die Quecksilberbelastung von Zahnmedizinstudenten. Teil 1: Anstieg der Hg-Ausscheidung im Verlauf des Phantomkurses.*
In: *Dtsch Zahnarztl Z* (1989 Sep) 44(9):714-6 ISSN: 0012-1029 (Published in German)
- 218 Kazantzis G Mercury exposure and early effects: an overview. *Med Lav* (2002 May-Jun) 93(3):139-47
- 219 Queiroz ML Perlingeiro RC Dantas DC Bizzacchi JM De Capitani EM Immunoglobulin levels in workers exposed to inorganic mercury. *Pharmacol Toxicol* (1994 Feb) 74(2):72-5
- 220 Martinez Vazquez C Rodriguez Saez E Gil Fernandez M Torres Pombo J Rodriguez M Iglesias Groba MT Herves Beloso C [Evoked potentials and psychometric tests in the diagnosis of subclinical neurological damage in a group of workers exposed to low concentrations of mercury vapor] *An Med Interna* (1996 May) 13(5):211-6
- 221 Chapman LJ Sauter SL Henning RA Dodson VN Reddan WG Matthews CG Differences in frequency of finger tremor in otherwise asymptomatic mercury workers. *Br J Ind Med* (1990 Dec) 47(12):838-43
- 222 Soleo L Urbano ML Petrera V Ambrosi L Effects of low exposure to inorganic mercury on psychological performance. *Br J Ind Med* (1990 Feb) 47(2):105-9
- 223 Gonzalez-Ramirez D Maiorino RM Zuniga-Charles M Xu Z Hurlbut KM Junco-Munoz P Aposhian MM Dart RC Diaz Gama JH Echeverria D et al Sodium 2,3-dimercaptopropane-1-sulfonate challenge test for mercury in humans: II. Urinary mercury, porphyrins and neurobehavioral changes of dental workers in Monterrey, Mexico. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* (1995 Jan) 272(1):264-74
- 224 Aposhian-HV; Maiorino-RM; Rivera-M; Bruce-DC; Dart-RC; Hurlbut-KM; Levine- Zheng-W; Fernando-Q; Carter-D; et-al *J-Toxicol-Clin-Toxicol.* 1992; 30(4): 505-28
- 225 Urinary Mercury After Administration of 2,3- Dimercaptopropane-1- Sulfonic Acid - Correlation with Dental Amalgam Score. Aposhian HV; Bruce DC; Alter W; Dart RC; Hurlbut KM; Aposhian MM *FASEB Journal*; 6 (7) 2472-2476 1992
- 226 Aposhian MM; Maiorino RM; Xu Z; Aposhian HV Ed P. Collery, J Corbella et al John Libbey Eurotext Ltd Montrouge, France *Metal Ions in Biology and Medicine, Vol 4; p363-365* 1996 ; Sodium 2,3-dimercapto-1-propanesulfonate treatment does not redistribute mercury or lead to the brain of rats.

-
- 227 Sodium 2,3-dimercaptopropane-1-sulfonate challenge test for mercury in humans .2. Urinary mercury, porphyrins and neurobehavioral changes of dental workers in Monterrey, Mexico. Gonzalezramirez D; Maiorino RM; Zunigacharles M; Xu ZF; Hurlbut KM; Juncomunoz P; Aposhian MM; Dart RC; Gama JHD; Echeverria D; Woods JS; Aposhian HV *Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics*; 272 (1) p264-274 JAN 1995
- 228 Godfrey M & Campbell N., Confirmation of mercury retention and toxicity using DMPS. *J. Adv. Med.* 7(1) 19-30 1994
- 229 Kidd RF Results of dental amalgam removal and mercury detoxification using DMPS and neural therapy. *Altern Ther Health Med* (2000 Jul) 6(4):49-55
- 230 Aposhian, H.V., et al, *FASEB J.*, 1992, 6, 2472-6
- 231 Torres-Alanis O Garza-Ocanas L Bernal MA Pineyro-Lopez A Urinary excretion of trace elements in humans after sodium 2,3- dimercaptopropane-1-sulfonate challenge test. *J Toxicol Clin Toxicol* (2000) 38(7): 697-700
- 232 Drasch G Boese-O'Reilly S Illig S Increase of renal excretion of organo-mercury compounds like methylmercury by DMPS (2,3-Dimercapto-1-propanesulfonic acid, Dimaval). *Clin Toxicol (Phila)* (2007) 45(3):266-9
- 233 Torres-Alanis O Garza-Ocanas L Pineyro-Lopez A Evaluation of urinary mercury excretion after administration of 2,3- dimercapto-1-propane sulfonic acid to occupationally exposed men. *J Toxicol Clin Toxicol* (1995) 33(6):717-20
- 234 Nerudova J Cabelkova Z Frantik E Lukas E Urban P Blaha K Pelclova D Lebedova J Cikrt M Mobilization of mercury by DMPS in occupationally exposed workers and in model experiments on rats: evaluation of body burden. *Int J Occup Med Environ Health* (2000) 13(2): 131-46
- 235G. Mark Richardson PhD., Medical Devices Bureau, Environmental Health Directorate, Health Canada December 1995. Later published in *Human and Ecological Risk Assessment* Vol2 No4: 709-61, 1996
- 236 Mutter J Naumann J Walach H Daschner F [Amalgam risk assessment with coverage of references up to 2005] Amalgam: Eine Risikobewertung unter Berücksichtigung der neuen Literatur bis 2005. *Gesundheitswesen* (2005 Mar) 67(3):204-16
- 237 Dental Amalgam and Mercury in Dentistry Report of an NHMRC working party March 1999 NHMRC National Health & Medical Research Council
- 238 Australian Risk Assessment of Mercury Exposure from Dental Amalgam Published August 2000 Prepared by Chem Affairs Pty Ltd PO Box 890 Lane Cove NSW 1595 Published August 2000
- 239 <http://www.nhmrc.gov.au>
- 240 <http://www.ada.org/1741.aspx>
- 241 Risk assessment was commissioned by the National Health & Medical Research Council of Australia, as part of a series of recommendations put forward by a working party which was set up in 1998 to assess the literature about the dangers of mercury from dental amalgam
- "A risk assessment be undertaken in order to establish the safety margins between current intake of mercury from dental amalgam and levels at which adverse health effects are likely. This risk assessment should include: -a- A critical evaluation of studies demonstrating adverse effects of exposure to low levels of mercury. -b- Estimation of the exposure to mercury under various scenarios with changing age, diet and numbers of restored tooth surfaces.
- 242 Thrombocytopenia in two children after placement of amalgam fillings in primary teeth). Berglund F, Elinder G *Program, Sammanfattningar, Svenska Läkarsällskapets Riksstämman* 27-29 nov 1991
- 243 Mercury allergy resulting from amalgam restorations. Engelman M A *J Amer Dent Assoc* 66: 122-123 (1963)

-
- 244 Chronic illness in association with dental amalgam: Report of two cases. Godfrey M E J *Adv Med* 3:247-255 (1990)
- 245 Amalgam-related chronic ulceration of oral mucosa. Jolly M, Moule A J, Freeman S *Br Dent J* 160:434-437 (1986)
- 246 Exercise-induced anaphylaxis: improvement after removal of amalgam in dental caries. Katsununa T, Iikura Y, Nagakura T, Saitoh H, Akimoto K, Akasawa A, Kindaichi S *Ann Allergy* 64:472-475 (1990)
- 247 A Case of High Mercury exposure from Dental Amalgam. Langworth S, Strömberg R *European Journal of Oral Sciences*. Jun 1996; 104(3):320-321. ISSN: 0909-8836
- 248 Urticaria following a dental silver filling - case report. Markow H *New York State J Med* 43:1648-1652 (1943)
- 249 Three cases of linear lichen planus caused by dental metal compounds. : Sasaki G, Yokozeki H, Katayama I, Nishioka K: *J Dermatol* 1996 Dec 23:12 890-2
- 250 Generalized allergic reaction from silver amalgam fillings Strassburg M, Schubel R : *Dtsche Zahnärztliche Zeit* 22:3-9 (1967)
- 251 A case of hypersensitivity to mercury released from amalgam fillings. Witek E *Source: Czas Stomat* 22:311-315, (?)
- 252 Allergic reaction to mercury after dental treatment. Wright F A C *New Zealand Dent J* 67:251-252 (1971)
- 253 Description of persons with symptoms presumed to be caused by electricity or visual display units--oral aspects. Bergdahl J, Anneroth G, Stenman E *Scand J Dent Res*. 1994 Feb; 102(1): 41-5
- 254 Long-term mercury excretion in urine after removal of amalgam fillings Begerow J, Zander D, Freier I, Dunemann L *Int Arch Occup Environ Health* 1994 66:3 209-12
- 255 Effect of Replacement of Dental Amalgam on Oral Lichenoid Reactions. Bratel J, Hakeberg M, Jontell M: *Journal of Dentistry*. Jan-Mar 1996; 24(1-2):41-45
- 256 Mercury sensitization in amalgam fillings. Assessment from a dermatologic viewpoint Brehler R, Panzer B, Forck G, Bertram H P *Dtsch Med Wochenschr* 1993 Apr 2 118:13 451-6
- 257 Healing of Lichenoid Reactions Following Removal of Amalgam - a Clinical Follow-up Henriksson E, Mattsson U, Håkansson J: *J Clin Periodont* 22(4):287-294 (1995)
- 258 The Relevance and Effect of Amalgam Replacement in Subjects with Oral Lichenoid Reactions Ibbotson S H, Speight E L, Macleod R I, Smart E R, Lawrence C M *British Journal of Dermatology*. Mar 1996; 134 (3):420-423. ISSN: 0007-0963
- 259 Resolution of oral lichenoid lesions after replacement of amalgam restorations in patients allergic to mercury compounds.: Laine J, Kalimo K, Forssell H, Happonen R P *Br J Dermatol* 126(1):10-15
- 260 Symptoms before and after proper amalgam removal in relation to serum-globulin reaction to metals. Lichtenberg H *Journal of Orthomolecular Medicine* Vol 11 No.4. pp 195-203 1996.
- 261 Effects of Removing Amalgam Fillings from Patients with Diseases Affecting the Immune System Lindqvist B, Mörnstad H *Medical Science Research*. May 1996; 24(5):355-356
- 262 Allergy and corrosion of dental materials in patients with oral lichen planus. Lundström I M C *Int J Oral Surg* 13:16-24 (1984)
- 263 Amalgam Associated Oral Lichenoid Reactions: Clinical and Histologic Changes After Removal of Amalgam Fillings. Östman P O, Anneroth G, Skoglund A *Oral Surgery Oral Medicine Oral Pathology Oral Radiology and Endodontics*. Apr 1996; 81 (4):459-465.
- 264 Resolution of lichen planus following removal of amalgam restorations in patients with proven allergy to mercury salts: a pilot study. Smart E R, Macleod R I, Lawrence C M *Br Dent J* 178(3):108-112 (1995)

-
- 265 The contribution of dental amalgam to mercury in blood. Snapp K R, Boyer D B, Peterson L C, Svare C W J Dent Res. 1989 May; 68(5): 780-5
- 266 Removal of Dental Mercury: Often an Effective Treatment for the Very Sensitive Patient Zamm A F J Orthomolecular Med 5(53): 138-142 (1990)
- 267 Elimination of symptoms by removal of dental amalgam from mercury poisoned patients, as compared with a control group of average patients. Lichtenberg H J J Orthomol Med 8: 145-148 (1993)
- 268 Mercury, selenium, and glutathione peroxidase before and after amalgam removal in man. Molin M, Bergman B, Marklund S L, Schütz A, Skerfving Acta Odontol Scand. 1990 Jun; 48(3): 189-202
- 269 The relationship between mercury from dental amalgam and oral cavity health. Siblingud R L Ann Dent 49(2): 6-10 (1990)
- 270 A comparison of mental health of multiple sclerosis patients with silver/mercury dental fillings and those with fillings removed. Siblingud R L Psychol Rep. 1992 Jun; 70(3 Pt 2): 1139-51
- 271 Evidence that mercury from silver dental fillings may be an etiological factor in multiple sclerosis. Siblingud R L, Kienholz E Sci Total Environ. 1994 Mar 15; 142(3): 191-205
- 272 Mercury-Specific Lymphocytes: An Indication of Mercury Allergy in Man. Stejskal V, Forsbeck M, Cederbrant K E, Asteman O J of Clin Immun, Vol. 16, No.1, 1996, pp. 31-40
- 273 Idiosyncrasy to metallic mercury, with special reference to amalgam fillings in the Teeth. Bass M HJ Pediat 23: 215-218 (1943)
- 274 Weidenhammer W Bornschein S Zilker T Eyer F Melchart D Hausteiner C Predictors of treatment outcomes after removal of amalgam fillings: associations between subjective symptoms, psychometric variables and mercury levels. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol (2010 Apr) 38(2): 180-9
- 275 Lindh U Hudecek R Danersund A Eriksson S Lindvall A Removal of dental amalgam and other metal alloys supported by antioxidant therapy alleviates symptoms and improves quality of life in patients with amalgam-associated ill health. Neuro Endocrinol Lett (2002 Oct-Dec) 23(5-6): 459-82
- 276 Guttman-Yassky E Weltfriend S Bergman R Resolution of orofacial granulomatosis with amalgam removal. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol (2003 May) 17(3): 344-7
- 277 Pigatto PD Guzzi G Persichini P Barbadillo S Recovery from mercury-induced burning mouth syndrome due to mercury allergy. Dermatitis (2004 Jun) 15(2): 75-7
- 278 Sterzl I Prochazkova J Hrda P Matucha P Bartova J Stejskal V Removal of dental amalgam decreases anti-TPO and anti-Tg autoantibodies in patients with autoimmune thyroiditis. Neuro Endocrinol Lett (2006 Dec) 27 Suppl 1: 25-30
- 279 Wojcik DP Godfrey ME Christie D Haley BE Mercury toxicity presenting as chronic fatigue, memory impairment and depression: diagnosis, treatment, susceptibility, and outcomes in a New Zealand general practice setting (1994-2006). Neuro Endocrinol Lett (2006 Aug) 27(4): 415-23
- 280 Frisk P Danersund A Hudecek R Lindh U Changed clinical chemistry pattern in blood after removal of dental amalgam and other metal alloys supported by antioxidant therapy. Biol Trace Elem Res (2007 Winter) 120(1-3): 163-70
- 281 Halbach S Vogt S Kohler W Felgenhauer N Welzl G Kremers L Zilker T Melchart D Blood and urine mercury levels in adult amalgam patients of a randomized controlled trial: interaction of Hg species in erythrocytes. Environ Res (2008 May) 107(1): 69-78
- 282 Melchart D Vogt S Kohler W Streng A Weidenhammer W Kremers L Hickel R Felgenhauer N Zilker T Wuhr E Halbach S Treatment of health complaints attributed to amalgam. J Dent Res (2008 Apr) 87(4): 349-53
- 283 Weidenhammer W Bornschein S Zilker T Eyer F Melchart D Hausteiner C Predictors of treatment outcomes after removal of amalgam fillings: associations between subjective symptoms, psychometric variables and mercury levels. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol (2010 Apr) 38(2): 180-9

-
- 284 Björklund G Mercury in the dental office. Risk evaluation of the occupational environment in dental care *Tidskr Nor Laegeforen* 111 mar 20 1991 948-51
- 285 "Effects and Use of Inorganic Drugs" H. Schulz, Dept of Pharmacology, Univ. of Greifswald G. Theime, Leipzig 1907.
- 286 EPA Mercury Health Effects update Health Issue Assessment. 1984 EOA-600/8-84f. USEPA
- 287 ATSDR. 1990. Technical assistance to the Tennessee Department of Health and Environment: Mercury exposure study, Charleston, Tennessee, Final Report, December 1990. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, Atlanta, GA. ATSDR/HS-91/11.
- 288 JADA Vol. 122 August 1991
- 289 Drasch G Schupp I Hofl H Reinke R Roeder G *Eur J Pediatr* (1994 Aug.) 153(8):607-10
- 290 Drasch et. al. *J Trace Elements in Medicine and Biology*; 9(2):82-7, 1995
- 291 Stortebecker. Mercury Poisoning from Dental Amalgam 1985
- 292 Stortebecker, P.. *The Lancet*, May 27, 1989.
- 293 Mercury Contamination In the Dental Office. . *NYS Dental Journal* November 1979
- 294 Berova N; Stransky L; Krasteva M Studies on contact dermatitis in stomatological staff. *Dermatol Monatsschr* 1990;176(1):15-8
- 295 Kanerva L; Lahtinen A; Toikkanen J; Forss H Increase in occupational skin diseases of dental personnel *Contact Dermatitis* 1999 Feb;40(2):104-8
- 296 Adachi A Horikawa T Takashima T Ichihashi M Mercury-induced nummular dermatitis. *J Am Acad Dermatol* (2000 Aug) 43(2 Pt 2):383-5
- 297 White RR Brandt RL Development of mercury hypersensitivity among dental students. *J Am Dent Assoc* (1976 Jun) 92(6):1204-7
- 298 White RR Brandt RL Development of mercury hypersensitivity among dental students. *J Am Dent Assoc* (1976 Jun) 92(6):1204-7
- 299 Miller EG Perry WL Wagner MJ Prevalence of mercury hypersensitivity in dental students. *J Prosthet Dent* (1987 Aug) 58(2):235-7
- 300 Bains VK Loomba K Loomba A Bains R Mercury sensitisation: review, relevance and a clinical report. *Br Dent J* (2008 Oct 11) 205(7):373-8
- 301 McGivern B Pemberton M Theaker ED Buchanan JA Thornhill MH Delayed and immediate hypersensitivity reactions associated with the use of amalgam. *Br Dent J* (2000 Jan 22) 188(2):73-6
- 302 Gordon HP, Cordon LD: reduction in mercury vapour levels in Seattle dental offices. *J Dent Res Abstract* 1092 57A:347, 1981
- 303 Rowland AS et al The effect of occupational exposure to mercury vapour on the fertility of female dental assistants *Occup Environ Med* (1994 Jan) 51(1):28-34
- 304 Sikorski R et al .Women in dental surgeries: reproductive hazards in occupational exposure to metallic mercury. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health* (1987) 59(6):551-7
- 305 Björklund G [Mercury in the dental office. Risk evaluation of the occupational environment in dental care] *Kvikksolv i tannhelsepersonalets arbeidsmiljo. En risikovurdering av arbeidsmiljoet innen tannhelsetjenesten. Tidsskr Nor Laegeforen* (1991 Mar 20) 111(8):948-51
- 306 Goncharuk: *Gig Tr Prof Zabol* (1977) (5):17-20
- 307 Vimy MJ, Hooper DE, King WW, Lorscheider FL. Mercury from maternal "silver" tooth fillings in sheep and human breast milk. A source of neonatal exposure. *Biol Trace Elem Res.* 1997 Feb;56(2):143-52.
- Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Calgary, Alberta, Canada.
- 308 Takahashi Y, Tsuruta S, Arimoto M, Tanaka H, Yoshida M. Placental transfer of mercury in pregnant rats which received dental amalgam restorations. *Toxicology.* 2003 Mar 14;185(1-2):23-33

-
- 309 Luglie PF Frulio A Campus G Chessa G Fadda G Dessole S [Mercury determination in human amniotic fluid] Dosaggio del mercurio nel liquido amniotico umano. *Minerva Stomatol* (2000 Apr) 49(4):155-61
- 310 Razagui IB Haswell SJ Mercury and selenium concentrations in maternal and neonatal scalp hair: relationship to amalgam-based dental treatment received during pregnancy. *Biol Trace Elem Res* (2001 Jul) 81(1):1-19
- 311 Takahashi Y Tsuruta S Hasegawa J Kameyama Y Yoshida M Release of mercury from dental amalgam fillings in pregnant rats and distribution of mercury in maternal and fetal tissues. *Toxicology* (2001 Jun 21) 163(2-3):115-26
- 312 Lindow SW Knight R Batty J Haswell SJ Maternal and neonatal hair mercury concentrations: the effect of dental amalgam. *BJOG* (2003 Mar) 110(3):287-91
- 313 Luglie PF Campus G Chessa G Spano G Capobianco G Fadda GM Dessole S Effect of amalgam fillings on the mercury concentration in human amniotic fluid. *Arch Gynecol Obstet* (2005 Feb) 271(2):138
- 314 da Costa SL Malm O Dorea JG Breast-milk mercury concentrations and amalgam surface in mothers from Brasilia, Brazil. *Biol Trace Elem Res* (2005 Aug) 106(2):145-51
- 315 Ursinyova M Masanova V Cadmium, lead and mercury in human milk from Slovakia. *Food Addit Contam* (2005 Jun) 22(6):579-89
- 316 Palkovicova L Ursinyova M Masanova V Yu Z Hertz-Picciotto I Maternal amalgam dental fillings as the source of mercury exposure in developing fetus and newborn. *J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol* (2008 May) 18(3):326-31
- 317 Geier DA Kern JK Geier MR A prospective study of prenatal mercury exposure from maternal dental amalgams and autism severity. *Acta Neurobiol Exp (Wars)* (2009) 69(2):189-97
- 318 Koos, Longo. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.*, 1976; 126; 390-409
- 319 Koos, B.J. and Longo, L.D.: *Mercury Toxicity in the Pregnant Woman, Fetus and Newborn Infant.* *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.* 12d, 390, 1970.
- 320 Mercury distribution in Cortical Areas and Fiber Systems of the Neonatal and Maternal Adult Cerebrum After Exposure of Pregnant Squirrel Monkeys to Mercury Vapour. *Environ Res.* Warfvinge, K; Hua, J; Logdberg, B. 67(2):196-208, Nov 1994
- 321 Takahashi, Y; Tsuruta, S; Hasegawa, J; Kameyama, Y.: Number of Amalgam Fillings in Pregnant Rats and Mercury Concentration in Their Fetuses. *J Dent Res.* 71(SI):571. A-445. 1992.
- 322 Khera et al., *Teratogenic and genetic effects of mercury toxicity. The biochemistry of Mercury in the environment.* Nriagu, J.O. Ed Amsterdam Elsevier, 503-18, 1979
- 323 Babich et al., *The mediation of mutagenicity and clastogenicity of heavy metals by physiochemical factors.* *Environ Res.*, 1985; 37; 253-286
- 324 Hansen K et al *A survey of metal induced mutagenicity in vitro and in vivo* *J Amer Coll Toxicol.*, 1984; 3; 381-430
- 325 Verchaeve L et al., *Comparative in vitro cytogenetic studies in mercury exposed human lymphocytes* *Mutation Res.*, 1985; 157; 221-226
- 326 Pelletier L et al., *In vivo self reactivity of mononuclear cells to T cells and macrophages exposed to HgCl2* *Eur. J Immune.*, 1985; 460-465
- 327 Veron et al *Amalgam Dentaires et allergies* *J Biol Buccale.*, 1986; 14; 83-100
- 328 Nouye M., Murao K., Kajiura Y., *Behavioral and neuropathological effects of prenatal methyl mercury exposure in mice.* *Neurobehav. Toxicol Teratol.*, 1985; 7; 227-232
- 329 AMALGAM _ HAZARDS IN YOUR TEETH Mats Hanson Department of Zoophysiology University of Lund

-
- Helgonavägen 3B S-22362 Lund, Sweden As reprinted from The Journal of Orthomolecular Psychiatry Volume 12, Number 3, September 1983
- 330 Possible foetotoxic effects of mercury vapour: a case report. Gelbier S; Ingram J Public Health 1989 Jan;103(1):35-40
- 331 Ericson A & Källén Pregnancy outcome in women working as dentists, dental assistants or dental technicians B Int Arch Occup Env Hlth 61(5) 1989 329-333
- 332 Reduced fertility among dental assistants with occupational exposure to mercury Rowland A; Baird D; Weinberg C; Shy C; Wilcox A Am J Epidemiol; 134 (7). 1991. 723-724
- 333 Johansson U, Hansson-Georgiadis H, Hultman P Int Arch Allerg Immunol in press 1997 (BP2)
- 334 Podzimek S Prochazkova J Bultasova L Bartova J Ulcova-Gallova Z
Mrklas L Stejskal VD Sensitization to inorganic mercury could be a risk factor for infertility. Neuro Endocrinol Lett (2005 Aug) 26(4):277-82
- 335 Jones L Bunnell J Stillman J A 30-year follow-up of residual effects on New Zealand School Dental Nurses, from occupational mercury exposure. Hum Exp Toxicol (2007 Apr) 26(4):367-74
- 336 Verschaeve et al., 1976
- 337 Akiyama M Oshima H Nakamura M Genotoxicity of mercury used in chromosome aberration tests. Toxicol In Vitro (2001 Aug-Oct) 15(4-5):463-7
- 338 Di Pietro A Visalli G La Maestra S Micale R Baluce B Matarese G Cingano L Scoglio ME Biomonitoring of DNA damage in peripheral blood lymphocytes of subjects with dental restorative fillings. Mutat Res (2008 Feb 29) 650(2):115-22
- 339 Nadorfy-Lopez E Torres SH Finol H Mendez M Bello B Skeletal muscle abnormalities associated with occupational exposure to mercury vapours. Histol Histopathol (2000 Jul) 15(3):673-82
- 340 Neghab M Choobineh A Hassan Zadeh J Ghaderi E Symptoms of intoxication in dentists associated with exposure to low levels of mercury. Ind Health (2011 Apr 14) 49(2):249-54
- 341 Geier DA Carmody T Kern JK King PG Geier MR A significant relationship between mercury exposure from dental amalgams and urinary porphyrins: a further assessment of the Casa Pia children's dental amalgam trial. Biometals (2011 Apr) 24(2):215-24
- 342 Ye X Qian H Xu P Zhu L Longnecker MP Fu H Nephrotoxicity, neurotoxicity, and mercury exposure among children with and without dental amalgam fillings. Int J Hyg Environ Health (2009 Jul) 212(4):378-86
- 343 Woods JS Martin MD Leroux BG DeRouen TA Bernardo MF Luis HS Leitao JG Simmonds PL Echeverria D Rue TC Urinary porphyrin excretion in children with mercury amalgam treatment: findings from the Casa Pia Children's Dental Amalgam Trial. J Toxicol Environ Health A (2009) 72(14):891-6
- 344 Boyd, N.D., et al, Am. J. Physiol., 1991, 2C1, R101&R1014
- 345 Verschoor MA Herber RF Zielhuis RL Urinary mercury levels and early changes in kidney function in dentists and dental assistants. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol (1988 Jun) 16(3):148-52
- 346 Roxe D Siddiqui F On-site screening for urinary Hg concentrations and correlation with glomerular and renal tubular function. J Public Health Dent (1991 Winter) 51(1):12-7
- 347 Naleway C, Chou H-N, Muller T, Dabney J, Roxe D, Farrida S. J Public Health Dent 1991;51:12-17.
- 348 Mortada WL Sobh MA El-Defrawy MM Farahat SE Mercury in dental restoration: is there a risk of nephrotoxicity? J Nephrol (2002 Mar-Apr) 15(2):171-6
- 349 Farahat SA Rashed LA Zawilla NH Farouk SM Effect of occupational exposure to elemental mercury in the amalgam on thymulin hormone production among dental staff. Toxicol Ind Health (2009 Apr) 25(3):159-67
- 350 Hultman P. Br J Exp Pathol 1989 70(3):227-36

-
- 351 Stejskal V Toxic. in Vitro Vol. 8, No. 5, 1994
- 352 Hultman P et al. Adverse immunological effects and autoimmunity induced by dental amalgam and alloy in mice. *FASEB J* (1994 Nov) 8(14):1183-90
- 353 Stejskal V. D. M. (1989) Allergy to drugs and other chemicals diagnosed by the presence of specific memory cells in human blood. In *Realm of Tolerance*. Edited by P. Ivanyi. pp. 213-224. Springer-Verlag, Berlin
- 354 Stejskal V. D. M., Forsbeck M. and Nilsson R. (1990) Lymphocyte transformation test for diagnosis of isothia-zolinone allergy in man. *Journal of Investigative Dermatology* 94, 798-802.
- 355 Stejskal V. D. M., Olin R. and Forsbeck M. (1986) The lymphocyte transformation test for diagnosis of drug-induced occupational allergy. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology* 77, 411-426.
- 356 Wilhelm-M; Dunninger-P; Ruppel-R; Tony-HP; Wilms-K; Klaiber-B Effects of amalgam on cells of the immune system . Einfluss von Amalgam auf Zellen des Immunsystems. *Med. Poliklinik der Universität, Würzburg. Dtsch-Zahnärztl-Z.* 1991 Aug; 46(8): 544-7 1991
- 357 Murine susceptibility to mercury. II. autoantibody profiles and renal immune deposits in hybrid, backcross, and H-2d congenic mice. Hultman: *Clin Immunol Immunopathol* (1993 Jul) 68(1):9-20
- 358 Does amalgam affect the immune system? A controversial issue. Enestrom S Hultman P *Int Arch Allergy Immunol* (1995 Mar) 106(3):180-203
- 359 Warfvinge G Larsson A Contact stomatitis to mercury associated with spontaneous mononuclear cell infiltrates in brown Norway (BN) rats with HgCl₂-induced autoimmunity. *J Oral Pathol Med* (1994 Nov) 23(10):441-5
- 360 Kosuda LL Greiner DL Bigazzi PE Mercury-induced renal autoimmunity in BN-->LEW.1N chimeric rats. *Cell Immunol* (1994 Apr 15) 155(1):77-94
- 361 Park, H, and K Kim. 2011. Association of blood mercury concentrations with atopic dermatitis in adults: A population-based study in Korea. *Environmental Research*
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2011.02.003>.
- 362 Kono DH; Balomenos D; Pearson DL; Park MS; Hildebrandt B; Hultman P; Pollard KM *Journal of Immunology*; 161 (1) p234- 240 JUL 1 1998 (CA5)
- 363 Pollard KM; Pearson DL; Hultman P; Deane TN; Lindh U; Kono DH *Environmental Health Perspectives*; 109 (1) p27-33 JAN 2001 (CM41)
- 364 Cederbrant K; Hultman P *Clinical and Experimental Immunology*; 121 (1) p23-30 JUL 2000 (CK70)
- 365 Hultman P; Hansson-Georgiadis H *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 1999 Feb 1; 154(3):203-211 (CD35)
- 366 Hultman P; Nielsen JB *Environmental Research*; 77 (2) p141-148 MAY 1998 (CC56)
- 367 Hultman P; Lindh U; Horstedbindslev P *Journal of Dental Research*; 77 (6) p1415-1425 JUN 1998 (CA19)
- 368 Warfvinge G, Peszkowski MJ, Hultman P, Larsson *Eur J Oral Sci* 1997 in press (BO51)
- 369 Pollard KM; Hultman P *Met Ions Biol Syst* 1997; 34:421-40 (CD46)
- 370 Hultman P; Turley SJ; Enestrom S; Lindh U; Pollard KM *Journal of Autoimmunity*; 9 (2) p139-149 APR 1996 (BJ12)
- 371 Hultman P; Ganowiak K; Turley SJ; Pollard KM *Clinical Immunology and Immunopathology*; 77 (3) p291-297 DEC 1995 (BF55)
- 372 Warfvinge K; Hansson H; Hultman P *Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology*; 132 (2) p299-309 JUN 1995 (BE22)

-
- 373 Hultman P; Johansson U; Turley SJ; Lindh U; Enestrom S; Pollard KM *FASEB Journal*; 8 (14) p1183-1190 NOV 1994 (AZ43); Dental amalgam and alloy induces autoimmunity in genetically susceptible mice (Editorial) *FASEB J* 8(14) 1994 1109
- 374 Hultman P, Skogh T & Eneström S Circulating and tissue immune complexes in mercury-treated mice *J Clin Lab Immunol* 29 1989 175-83
- 375 Hultman P & Eneström S The induction of immune complex deposits in mice by peroral and parenteral administration of mercuric chloride: strain dependent susceptibility *Clin Exp Immunol* 67 1987 283-92
- 376 Soleo L Colosio C Alinovi R Guarneri D Russo A Lovreglio P Vimercati L Birindelli S Cortesi I Flore C Carta P Colombi A Parrinello G Ambrosi L [Immunologic effects of exposure to low levels of inorganic mercury] Effetti immunitari da esposizione a basse dosi di mercurio inorganico. *Med Lav* (2002 May-Jun) 93(3):225-32
- 377 Prochazkova J Sterzl I Kucerova H Bartova J Stejskal VD The beneficial effect of amalgam replacement on health in patients with autoimmunity. *Neuro Endocrinol Lett* (2004 Jun) 25(3):211-8
- 378 Fardal O Johannessen AC Morken T Gingivo-mucosal and cutaneous reactions to amalgam fillings. *J Clin Periodontol* (2005 Apr) 32(4):430-3
- 379 Kal BI Evcin O Dundar N Tezel H Unal I An unusual case of immediate hypersensitivity reaction associated with an amalgam restoration. *Br Dent J* (2008 Nov 22) 205(10):547-50
- 380 Eedy DJ Burrows D Clifford T Fay A Elevated T cell subpopulations in dental students. *J Prosthet Dent* (1990 May) 63(5):593-6
- 381 Minoia C Ronchi A Pigatto P Guzzi G Effects of mercury on the endocrine system. *Crit Rev Toxicol* (2009) 39(7):627
- 382 Nylander M Mercury in pituitary glands of dentists.: *Lancet* (1986 Feb 22) 1(8478):442
- 383 Nylander M Weiner J Relation between mercury and selenium in pituitary glands of dental staff. *Br J Ind Med* (1989 Oct) 46(10):751-2
- 384 Shapiro IM Cornblath DR Sumner AJ Uzzell B Spitz LK Ship II Bloch P Neurophysiological and neuropsychological function in mercury-exposed dentists. *Lancet* (1982 May 22) 1(8282):1147-50
- 385 Uzzell BP, Oler J. Chronic low-level mercury exposure and neuropsychological functioning. *J Clin Exp Neuropsychol.* 1986 Oct;8(5):581-93
- 386 Siblingud RL. Am J. The relationship between mercury from dental amalgam and mental health. *Psychother.* 1989 Oct;43(4):575-87. Colorado State University, Department of Physiology, Fort Collins
- 387 Ngim CH Foo SC Boey KW Jeyaratnam J Chronic neurobehavioural effects of elemental mercury in dentists. *Br J Ind Med* (1992 Nov) 49(11):782-90
- 388 Gonzalez-Ramirez D Maiorino RM Zuniga-Charles M Xu Z Hurlbut KM Junco-Munoz P Aposhian MM Dart RC Diaz Gama JH Echeverria D et al Sodium 2,3-dimercaptopropane-1-sulfonate challenge test for mercury in humans: II. Urinary mercury, porphyrins and neurobehavioral changes of dental workers in Monterrey, Mexico. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* (1995 Jan) 272(1):264-74
- 389 Echeverria D Heyer NJ Martin MD Naleway CA Woods JS Bittner AC Bittner AC Jr Behavioral effects of low-level exposure to elemental Hg among dentists. *Neurotoxicol Teratol* (1995 Mar-Apr) 17(2):161-8
- 390 Ritchie KA Macdonald EB Hammersley R O'Neil JM McGowan DA Dale IM Wesnes K A pilot study of the effect of low level exposure to mercury on the health of dental surgeons. *Occup Environ Med* (1995 Dec) 52(12):813-7
- 391 Echeverria D. et al. Behavioral Effects of Low-Level Exposure to Hg0 Among Dentists. *Neurotoxicology and Teratology* 17(2):161-168, 1995
- 392 Foo, SC; Ngim, CH; Salleh, I; Jeyaratnam, J; Boey, KW. Neurobehavioral Effects in Occupational Chemical Exposure. *Environ Res.* 1993, Feb. 60(2): 267-73.

-
- 393 Lundy DF, McBean GJ. Pre-incubation of synaptosomes with arachidonic acid potentiates inhibition of [3H] D-aspartate transport. *Eur J Pharmacol* 1995;291:273-9.
- 394 Echeverria D Aposhian HV Woods JS Heyer NJ Aposhian MM Bittner AC Mahurin RK Cianciola M Bittner AC Jr Neurobehavioral effects from exposure to dental amalgam Hg(o): new distinctions between recent exposure and Hg body burden. *FASEB J* (1998 Aug) 12(11):971-80
- 395 Langworth S Sallsten G Barregard L Cynkier I Lind ML Soderman E Exposure to mercury vapor and impact on health in the dental profession in Sweden. *J Dent Res* (1997 Jul) 76(7):1397-404
- 396 Heyer NJ, Echeverria D, Bittner AC Jr, Farin FM, Garabedian CC, Woods JS. Chronic Low-Level Mercury Exposure, BDNF Polymorphism, and Associations with Self-Reported Symptoms and Mood. *Toxicological Sciences* 81, 354-363 (2004). doi:10.1093/toxsci/kfh220
- 397 Heyer NJ Echeverria D Farin FM Woods JS The association between serotonin transporter gene promoter polymorphism (5-HTTLPR), self-reported symptoms, and dental mercury exposure. *J Toxicol Environ Health A* (2008) 71(19):1318-26
- 398 Echeverria D Woods JS Heyer NJ Rohlman DS Farin FM Bittner AC Li T Garabedian C Chronic low-level mercury exposure, BDNF polymorphism, and associations with cognitive and motor function. *Neurotoxicol Teratol* (2005 Nov-Dec) 27(6):781-96
- 399 Echeverria D, Woods JS, Heyer NJ, Martin MD, Rohlman DS, Farin FM, Li T. The association between serotonin transporter gene promoter polymorphism (5-httlpr) and elemental mercury exposure on mood and behavior in humans. *J Toxicol Environ Health A*. 2010 January ; 73(15): 1003-1020
- 400 Ritchie KA Gilmour WH Macdonald EB Burke FJ McGowan DA Dale IM Hammersley R Hamilton RM Binnie V Collington D Health and neuropsychological functioning of dentists exposed to mercury. *Occup Environ Med* (2002 May) 59(5):287-93
- 401 Möller AT, Spangenberg JJ. Stress and coping amongst South African dentists in private practice. *J Dent Assoc S Afr*. 1996 Jun; 51(6):347-57.
- 402 Hilt B Svendsen K Syversen T Aas O Qvenild T Sletvold H Melo I Occurrence of cognitive symptoms in dental assistants with previous occupational exposure to metallic mercury. *Neurotoxicology* (2009 Nov) 30(6):1202-6
- 403 O'Carroll RE Masterton G Dougall N Ebmeier KP Goodwin GM The neuropsychiatric sequelae of mercury poisoning. The Mad Hatter's disease revisited. *Br J Psychiatry* (1995 Jul) 167(1):95-8
- 404 Langworth S Almkvist O SÅderman E WikstrÅ BO Effects of occupational exposure to mercury vapour on the central nervous system. *Br J Ind Med* (1992 Aug) 49(8):545-55
- 405 Kishi R Doi R Fukuchi Y Satoh H Satoh T Ono A Moriwaka F Tashiro K Takahata N Sasatani H et al Residual neurobehavioural effects associated with chronic exposure to mercury vapour. *Occup Environ Med* (1994 Jan) 51(1):35-41
- 406 Tirado V Garcia MA Moreno J Galeano LM Lopera F Franco A [Neuropsychological disorders after occupational exposure to mercury vapors in El Bagre (Antioquia, Colombia)] *Rev Neurol* (2000 Oct 16-31) 31(8):712-6
- 407 Heyer NJ Echeverria D Martin MD Farin FM Woods JS Catechol O-methyltransferase (COMT) VAL158MET functional polymorphism, dental mercury exposure, and self-reported symptoms and mood.: *J Toxicol Environ Health A* (2009) 72(9):599-609
- 408 Surkan PJ Wypij D Trachtenberg F Daniel DB Barregard L McKinlay S Bellinger DC Neuropsychological function in school-age children with low mercury exposures. *Environ Res* (2009 Aug) 109(6):728-33

-
- 409 Patkiewicz, P., Zwiers, H., & Lorscheider, F.L., J. Neurochem., 1994, 62, 2049-52
- 410 Ayer WA Getter L Machen JB Haller GR Hand steadiness and mercury blood levels among practicing dentists: preliminary findings. J Am Dent Assoc (1976 Jun) 92(6):1208-10
- 411 Behavioural Effects of Neonatal Metallic Mercury Exposure in Rats. Fredriksson A; Dahlgren L; Danielsson B; Eriksson P; Dencker L; Archer T Toxicology; 74 (2-3) 151-160 1992
- 412 Professor Joel Butler, Professor of Psychology at the University of North Texas, "Neuropsychological Dysfunctioning Associated with the Dental Office Environment"
- 413 Wood RW, Weiss AB, Weiss B: Hand tremor induced by industrial exposure to inorganic mercury. Arch Environ Health 26:249-52,1973.
- 414 Miller JM, Chaffin DB, Smith RG: Subclinical psychomotor and neuromuscular changes in workers exposed to inorganic mercury. A Indus Hyg Assoc J 36: 725-33,1975.
- 415 Barber TE: Inorganic mercury intoxication reminiscent of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. J Occupat Med 20: 667-9,1978.
- 416 Smith Jr DL: Mental effects of mercury poisoning. South Med J 71:904-5, 1978.
- 417 Langolf GD, Chaffin DB, Henderson R. Whittle HP: Evaluation of workers exposed to elemental mercury using quantitative tests of tremor and neuromuscular functions. Am Ind Hyg Assoc 39(12):976-84, 1978.
- 418 Albers JW, Cavender GD, Levine SP, Langolf GD: Asymptomatic sensorimotor polyneuropathy in workers exposed to elemental mercury. Neurology 32:1168-74,1982.
- 419 Adams CR, Ziegler DK, Lin JT: Mercury intoxication simulating amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. J Amer Med Assoc 250: 642-3,1983.
- 420 Ritchie, KA; MacDonald, EB; Hammersly, R; McGowan, DA; Dale, IM; Wesnes, K. Psychomotor Testing of Dentists with Chronic Low-Level Mercury Exposure. J Dent Res. 74(S1):420, A-160.
- 421 Lucchini R Cortesi I Facco P Benedetti L Camerino D Carta P Urbano ML Zaccheo A Alessio L
[Neurotoxic effect of exposure to low doses of mercury] Effetti neurotossici da esposizione a basse dosi di mercurio. Med Lav (2002 May-Jun) 93(3):202-14
- 422 Surkan PJ Wypij D Trachtenberg F Daniel DB Barregard L McKinlay S Bellinger DC Neuropsychological function in school-age children with low mercury exposures. Environ Res (2009 Aug) 109(6):728-33
- 423 Geier DA Kern JK Geier MR A prospective study of prenatal mercury exposure from maternal dental amalgams and autism severity. Acta Neurobiol Exp (Wars) (2009) 69(2):189-97
- 424 Edlich RF Cross CL Wack CA Long WB Newkirk AT The food and drug administration agrees to classify mercury fillings. J Environ Pathol Toxicol Oncol (2008) 27(4):303-5
- 425 Shapiro IM, Sumner AJ, Spilz LK, Cornblath DR, Uzzell B. Ship II, Bloch P: Neurophysiological and neuropsychological function in mercury-exposed dentists. Lancet 8282:1147-50,1982.
- 426 Nylander et al. Fourth international symposium Epidemiology in Occupational Health., Como Italy Sept 1985
- 427 Lorscheider, F.L., Vlmy., M.I., Pendergrass, I.C., & Haley, B.E@ Abstract presented at the 12th International Neurotoxicology Conference, University of Arkansas Medical Center, Hot Spring, 30th October-2nd November, 1994
- 428 McComb D Occupational exposure to mercury in dentistry and dentist mortality. J Can Dent Assoc (1997 May) 63(5):372-6
- 429 Wenstmp, D., Ehmann, W.D., & Markesbery, W.R, Brain Res., 1990, 533, 125-31
- 430 Duhr, E; Pendergrass, C; Kasarskis, E; Slevin, J; Haley, B. Federation of American Societies for Experimental Biology (FASEB). 75th Annual Meeting. Atlanta, Georgia. 21-25 April 1991.

-
- 431 Godfrey ME Wojcik DP Krone CA Apolipoprotein E genotyping as a potential biomarker for mercury neurotoxicity. *J Alzheimers Dis* (2003 Jun) 5(3):189-95
- 432 Mutter J Naumann J Sadaghiani C Schneider R Walach H Alzheimer disease: mercury as pathogenetic factor and apolipoprotein E as a moderator. *Neuro Endocrinol Lett* (2004 Oct) 25(5):331-9
- 433 Mutter J Naumann J Schneider R Walach H [Mercury and Alzheimer's disease] Quecksilber und Alzheimer-Erkrankung. *Fortschr Neurol Psychiatr* (2007 Sep) 75(9):528-38
- 434 Haley, BE. et al. *FASEB J.* 9(4): A-3845. FASEB Annual Meeting, Atlanta Georgia, 10 March 1995.
- 435 "Retrograde Degeneration of Neurite Membrane Structural Integrity of Nerve Growth Cones Following In Vitro Exposure To mercury." Leong, CW; Syed, NI; Lorscheider, FL. *NeuroReport*, 12(4): 733-737, 2001.
- 436 Ngim CH; Devathasan G *Neuroepidemiology* 1989;8(3):128-41 Epidemiologic study on the association between body burden mercury level and idiopathic Parkinson's disease.
- 437 Finkelstein Y Vardi J Kesten MM Hod I The enigma of parkinsonism in chronic borderline mercury intoxication, resolved by challenge with penicillamine. *Neurotoxicology* (1996 Spring) 17(1):291-5
- 438 Occupational exposures to metals as risk factors for Parkinson's disease. Gorell JM; Johnson CC; Rybicki BA; Peterson EL; Kortsha GX; Brown GG; Richardson RJ *Neurology*; 48 (3) p650-658 MAR 1997
- 439 Khare s.s., Ehmann W.D. Kasarskis E.J., Markesbery W.R. *Neurotoxicology* 11:521-32 1990
- 440 Pamphlet R., Waley P. *J Neurol Sci* (1996 Jan) 135(1):63-7
- 441 Kaga M Seale NS Hanawa T Ferracane JL Okabe T Cytotoxicity of amalgams. *J Dent Res* (1988 Sep) 67(9):1221-4
- 442 Lobner D, Asrari M. Neurotoxicity of dental amalgam is mediated by zinc. *J Dent Res.* 2003 Mar;82(3):243-6
- 443 Summers, A.O., etal. *Antimicrob. Agents and Chemother*, 1993,37, 825-34
- 444 Gilbert, M.P., & Sununers, A O., *Plasmid*, 1988,20, 127-36
- 445 Ready D Qureshi F Bedi R Mullany P Wilson M Oral bacteria resistant to mercury and to antibiotics are present in children with no previous exposure to amalgam restorative materials. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* (2003 Jun 6) 223(1):107-11
- 446 Ready D Pratten J Mordan N Watts E Wilson M The effect of amalgam exposure on mercury- and antibiotic-resistant bacteria. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* (2007 Jul) 30(1):34-9
- 447 Leistevuo J Leistevuo T Helenius H Pyy L Huovinen P Tenovuo J Mercury in saliva and the risk of exceeding limits for sewage in relation to exposure to amalgam fillings. *Arch Environ Health* (2002 Jul-Aug) 57(4):366-70
- 448 Leistevuo J Jarvinen H Osterblad M Leistevuo T Huovinen P Tenovuo J Jjarvinen H Resistance to mercury and antimicrobial agents in *Streptococcus mutans* isolates from human subjects in relation to exposure to dental amalgam fillings. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* (2000 Feb) 44(2):456-7
- 449 Thornhill MH Pemberton MN Simmons RK Theaker ED Amalgam-contact hypersensitivity lesions and oral lichen planus. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* (2003 Mar) 95(3):291-9
ISSN: 1079-2104
- 450 Laeijendecker R Dekker SK Burger PM Mulder PG Van Joost T Neumann MH Oral lichen planus and allergy to dental amalgam restorations. *Arch Dermatol* (2004 Dec) 140(12):1434-8
- 451 Pigatto PD Guzzi G Severi G Oral lichen planus: mercury and its kin. *Arch Dermatol* (2005 Nov) 141(11):1472-3; author reply 1473

-
- 452 Finne, K, Goransson, K, @ Wmckta, L., *Int. J. Oral Surg.*, 1982, 11, 2369
- 453 Lundstrom, I.M.C., *ibid*, 1983, 12, 19 53
- 454 Mobacken, H., Hersle, K, Sloberg, K, & Thilander, H., *Contact Dennatitis*, 1984, 10, 115
- 455 RI Lawrence CM *Br Dent J* (1995 Feb 11) 178(3):108-12
- 456 Macleod R.I. et al *J Dent Res*, Divisional Abstracts: British Division, page 738, Abstract # 410, April 1993.
- 457 Bolewska-J; Reibel-J Department of Oral Pathology, Royal Dental College, Copenhagen, Denmark. *J-Oral-Pathol-Med*. 1989 Oct; 18(9): 525-8
- 458 Schrrallhammer-Benkler K; Ring J; Przybilla B; Meurer M; and Landthaler M. *Acta Derm Venerol* (Stockh) 72(4):294-6, 1992.
- 459 Henriksson E Mattsson U Hakansson J *J Clin Periodontol* (1995 Apr) 22(4):287-94
- 460 Nogi N *Nippon Hifuka Gakkai Zasshi* 1989 Nov;99(12):1243-54
- Electric current around dental metals as a factor producing allergenic metal ions in the oral cavity
- 461 L. Wong and S. Freeman, Oral lichenoid lesions (OLL) and mercury in amalgam fillings, *Contact Dermatitis*, Vol 48 Issue 2 Page 74 - February 2003.
- 462 P.O.Ostman et al, "Clinical & histologic changes after removal of amalgam", *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, and Endodontics*, 1996, 81(4):459-465;
- 463 A. Skoglund, *Scand J Dent Res* 102(4): 216-222, 1994
- 464 A Dunsche et al, " Oral lichenoid reactions associated with amalgam: improvement after amalgam removal." *British Journal of Dermatology* 2003 Jan; 148:1: 70-6.
- 465 G. Sasaki et al, "Three cases of oral lichenosis caused by metallic fillings", *J. Dermatol*, 23 Dec, 1996; 12:890- 892;
- 466 Rogers RS Bruce AJ Lichenoid contact stomatitis: is inorganic mercury the culprit? *Arch Dermatol* (2004 Dec) 140(12):1524-5
- 467 Issa Y Duxbury AJ Macfarlane TV Brunton PA Oral lichenoid lesions related to dental restorative materials. *Br Dent J* (2005 Mar 26) 198(6):361-6; disussion 549; quiz 372
- 468 Erfurth EM Schutz A Nilsson A Barregard L Skerfving S Normal pituitary hormone response to thyrotrophin and gonadotrophin releasing hormones in subjects exposed to elemental mercury vapour. *Br J Ind Med* (1990 Sep) 47(9):639-44
- 469 Ellingsen DG Holland RI Thomassen Y Landro-Olstad M Frech W Kjuus H Mercury and selenium in workers previously exposed to mercury vapour at a chloralkali plant. *Br J Ind Med* (1993 Aug) 50(8): 745-52
- 470 Johansson: Selenium and its protection against the effects of mercury and silver. *J Trace Elem Electrolytes Health Dis* (1991 Dec)...
- 471 Selenium as an antidote for mercury? *Estler: Zahnarztl Mitt* (1990 Jun 16) 80(12):1404-6
- 472 Moszczynski P [Mercury and the risk of coronary heart disease] *Przegl Lek* (2006) 63 Suppl 7:84-7
- 473 Ariza M.E., Williams M.V. *Environ Mol Mutagen* (1996) 27(1):30-3
- 474 Rothwell JA Boyd PJ Amalgam dental fillings and hearing loss. *Int J Audiol* (2008 Dec) 47(12): 770-6
- 475 Mutter J Yeter D Kawasaki's disease, acrodynia, and mercury. *Curr Med Chem* (2008) 15(28):3000-10

-
- 476 P.Lokken, "Lethal mercury poisoning in a dental assistant", *Nor Tannlaegeforen Tid*, Apr 1971, 81(4):275-288 & R. Wronski et al, "A case of panarteritis nodosa associated with chronic mercury poisoning", *Dtsch Med Wochenschr*, Mar 1977, 102(9):323-325.
- 477 Barber TE: Inorganic mercury intoxication reminiscent of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *J Occupat Med* 20:667-9,1978.
- 478 Adams CR, Ziegler DK, Lin JT: Mercury intoxication simulating amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *J Amer Med Assoc* 250:642-3,1983.
- 479 Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis and mercury-preliminary report. Mano et. al., (1990). *Rinsho Shinkeigaku* 30:1275-1277.(2085936)
- 480 Trace element imbalances in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Khare et. al., (1990). *Neurotoxicology* 11:521-532.(2284056)
- 481 Stortebecker P Nordstrom G Pesteny MP de Seeman T Bjorkerud S Vascular and metabolic studies of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. I. Angiopathy in biopsy specimens of peripheral arteries.
- 482 KANTARJIAN, A.D.: A Syndrome Clinically Resembling Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis Following Chronic Mercurialism, *Neurol.* 11, 21, 1961
- 483 Recovery from amyotrophic lateral sclerosis and from allergy after removal of dental amalgam fillings Redhe O & Pleva J *Int J of Risk Safety in Medicine* 4 1994 229-236
- 484 Chronic mercurialism: cause of the clinical syndrome of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis Brown IA *Arch Neurol Psychiat* 72 1954 674
- 485 Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis and metallic toxins. Currier RD; Haerer AF *Arch Environ Health* 1968 Nov; 17(5): 712-9
- 486 A case of methylmercury poisoning with onset of a clinical syndrome resembling amyotrophic lateral sclerosis Shirakawa K, Yasa T, Hirota T, Tsubaki T, Hoshi M *Neurol Med (Tokyo)* 4 1976 58-62
- 487 Heavy metal concentrations in blood cells in patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis Nagata H, Miyata S, Nakamura S, Kameyama N & Katsui Y *J Neurol Sci* 67 1985 173-178
- 488 Mercury content of hair in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis Mano Y, Takayanagi T, Ishitani A, Hirota T In: *Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis. New Advances in Toxicology and Epidemiology* Clifford Rose F, Norris FH (Eds) Smith-Gordon Nishimura, London 1990 225- 231
- 489 A clinical evaluation of the inorganic mercurialism--its pathogenic relation to amyotrophic lateral sclerosis Moriwaka F; Tashiro K; Doi R; Satoh H; Fukuchi Y (Jpn) *Rinsho Shinkeigaku* 1991 Aug; 31(8):885-7
- 490 Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis after accidental injection of mercury. Schwarz S; Husstedt IW; Bertram HP; Kuchelmeister K *Journal of Neurology Neurosurgery and Psychiatry*; 60 (6) p698 JUN 1996 (BH35)
- 491 Methylmercury- and mercuric-chloride-induced alterations in rat epididymal sperm Chowdhury AR et al *Toxicol Lett* 47 1989 125
- 492 Testicular activities in mercuric chloride treated domestic fowl. Maitra T; Maitra SK *Indian J Poult Sci*; 24 (4). 1989. 277-282.
- 493 Effect of organic and inorganic mercury on human sperm motility Ernst E & Lauritsen JG *Pharmacol Toxicol* 68(6) 1991 440-4
- 494 Blood Concentrations of Lead, Cadmium, Mercury, Zinc, and Copper and Human Semen Parameters. Chia SE; Ong CN; Lee ST; Tsakok FHM *Archives of Andrology*; 29 (2) 177-183 1992
- 495 Occupation and exposure-related studies on human sperm Lähdetie J *J Occup Envir Med* 37 1995 922-93
- 496 Analysis of biochemical components of seminal plasma in male workers exposed to mercury vapor. Li Hong; Yao Wu; Yang Jianming; et al *Zhonghua Yufang Yixue Zazhi*; 29 (6). 1995. 351-353.

-
- 497 Declining sperm count. Increasing evidence that Young's syndrome is associated with mercury
Dally S & Hendry B Br Med J 313 1996 July 6 44
- 498 Effect of some metal compounds on sperm motility in vitro. Altamiranolozano M; Roldanreyes E;
Bonilla E; Betancourt M Medical Science Research; 25 (3) p147-150 MAR 1997
- 499 Interactive effects of organic and inorganic selenium with cadmium and mercury on spermatozoal
oxygen consumption and motility in vitro. Alabi NS; Whanger PD; Wu AS Biol Reprod 1985
Nov; 33(4):911-9
- 500 Effects of methyl mercury on sperm oxygen consumption. Mohamed MK; Evans TC; Mottet NK;
Burbacher TM Acta Pharmacol Toxicol (Copenh) 1986 Mar; 58(3): 219-24
- 501 Feitosa-Santana C Barboni MT Oiwa NN Paramei GV Simoes AL Da Costa MF Silveira LC
Ventura DF Irreversible color vision losses in patients with chronic mercury vapor intoxication. Vis
Neurosci (2008 May-Jun) 25(3):487-91
- 502 Feitosa-Santana C Bimler DL Paramei GV Oiwa NN Barboni MT Costa MF Silveira LC Ventura
DF Color-space distortions following long-term occupational exposure to mercury vapor. Ophthalmic
Physiol Opt (2010 Sep) 30(5):724-30
- 503 Rodrigues AR Souza CR Braga AM Rodrigues PS Silveira AT Damin ET Cortes MI Castro AJ
Mello GA Vieira JL Pinheiro MC Ventura DF Silveira LC Mercury toxicity in the Amazon: contrast
sensitivity and color discrimination of subjects exposed to mercury. Braz J Med Biol Res (2007 Mar)
40(3):415-24
- 504 Feitosa-Santana C Costa MF Lago M Ventura DF Long-term loss of color vision after exposure
to mercury vapor. Braz J Med Biol Res (2007 Mar) 40(3):409-14
- 505 Dr. P.Kraub & M.Deyhle, Universitat Tübingen- Institut für Organische Chemie, "Field Study on
the Mercury Content of Saliva", 1997 (20,000 people tested for mercury level in saliva and health
status/symptoms compiled);
- 506 Monaci F et al, Concentrations of major elements and mercury in unstimulated human saliva. Biol
Trace Elem Res. 2002 Dec; 89(3):193-203.
- 507 Professor Joel Butler, Professor of Psychology at the University of North Texas, and entitled
"Neuropsychological Dysfunctioning Associated with the Dental Office Environment"
- 508 <http://www.caulk.com/MSDSDFU/DispersDFU.html>. Megalloy has two MSDSs: Megalloy Spherical
Alloy or Mercury Dispersalloy has two MSDSs: Dispersalloy Dispersed Phase Alloy or Mercury.
MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEET Date prepared: 9/20/95 Dated Revised 9/24/97
- 509 <http://www.ada.org.au/About/policies.aspx> ADA policy statement 5.8c pdf November 21/22 2002
- 510 The letter from Richard Tobin, director of Health Canada's medical devices bureau Canada Health
was to Dr. James Brookfield, President, Canadian Dental Association February 27, 1996.
- 511 Published in Healthwatch , Feb 28 1999 page 7 of Tempo a section of the news paper Sun Herald
Feb 28 1999
- 512 Contamination of Human Neurophysiology Contamination with Mercury Compounds Copyright
1996 Leading Edge Research Group, P.O.Box 7530, Yelm, Washington 98597 USA
<http://www.trifax.org/mercury/merc2.html>
- 513 American Dental Association, 'Principle of ethics and code of professional conduct', Section I-J:
Representation of care and fees, 211 E Chicago Avenue, Chicago IL US, 64611 from "Toxic Teeth:
The Chronic Mercury Poisoning of Modern Man Murray J Vimy Chemistry & Industry 2 January 1995
17"
- 514 <http://www.epa.gov/ttn/atw/hlthef/mercury.html#ref11>
- 515 The European Union pressed to ban mercury from mouths Saturday, July 28, 2007 - By Anna
Stablum, LONDON, Reuters

- 516 British Dental Journal (2005): 198, 191
<http://www.nature.com/bdj/journal/v198/n4/full/4812154a.html>
- 517 http://www.newmoa.org/prevention/mercury/imerc/FactSheets/dental_amalgam.pdf
- 518 http://www.mercola.com/article/mercury/no_mercury.htm
- 519 Environmental costs of mercury pollution. Hylander LD, Goodsite ME. *Sci Total Environ.* 2006 Sep 1;368(1):352-70. Epub 2006 Jan 25.
- 520 Leistevuo J Leistevuo T Helenius H Pyy L Huovinen P Tenovuo J Mercury in saliva and the risk of exceeding limits for sewage in relation to exposure to amalgam fillings. *Arch Environ Health* (2002 Jul-Aug) 57(4):366-70
- "The concentration of total mercury in stimulated saliva was studied in humans with dental amalgam fillings and in 2 non-amalgam groups. The probability of exceeding the limits of mercury permitted in wastewater increased proportionally as the number of amalgam-filled surfaces increased. The mercury limit for sewage is 0.05 mg/l (= 250 nmol/l) effluent, according to the Council of European Communities directive 84/156/EEC. In neither of the non-amalgam groups was this limit exceeded, but 20.5% in the amalgam group exceeded the limit The risk of exceeding the limit increased 2-fold for every 10 additional amalgam-filled surfaces.
- 521 Vandeven JA McGinnis SL Cost-effectiveness of removing amalgam from dental wastewater. *J Calif Dent Assoc* (2004 Jul) 32(7):564-73
- 522 Trip L Canada-wide standards: a pollution prevention program for dental amalgam waste. *J Can Dent Assoc* (2001 May) 67(5):270-3
- 523 (Rowland et al., 1975) Cross et al., 1978). Blood of Dentists, *Lancet*, 312, Aug. 5, 1978
- 524 Cross et al., 1978). Blood of Dentists, *Lancet*, 312, Aug. 5, 197
- 525 Methylation of Mercury from dental amalgam and mercuric chloride by oral Streptococci. Heintz, Edwardson, Derand, *Birkhed Scan. J. Dent. Res.* 1983, 91:150-152;
- 526 The Methylation of Mercuric Chloride by Human Intestinal Bacteria. Rowland, Grasso, Davies *Experientia. Basel* 1975 ,31: 1064-1065
- 527 Choi SC, Chase T Jr, Bartha R. Enzymatic catalysis of mercury methylation by *Desulfovibrio desulfuricans* LS. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 1994 Apr;60(4):1342-6.
- 528 Hamdy et al, "Formation of methyl mercury by bacteria", *App Microbiol*, 1975, Sept.; & W.Forth,
- 529 "Toxikologie von Quecksilberverbindungen", in *Quecksilber in der Umwelt-Hearing zur Amalgamproblematik*, Niedersachsisches Umweltministerium, 1991
- 530 Moszczynski P Moszczynski P [Health damage due to exposure to mercury vapour (Hg)]
 Szkody zdrowotne wywołane narazeniem na pary rtęci (Hg). In: *Czas Stomatol* (1989 Apr) 42(4):233-8
- 531 Methylation of mercury in intestinal diseases? Metylering av kvicksilver vid tarmsjukdomar. Abdullah M; Arnesjo B; Ihse I (Swe) *Lakartidningen* 1974 Feb 27;71(9):810-1
- 532 Wisconson Mercury Sourcebook:
- 533 Dental Transport of mercury from dental Silver Amalgam Restorations. *Prog Histochem Cytochem* 1991 23(1-4):321-6
- 534 Holton WC Quick fixes for quicksilver. *Environ Health Perspect* (1998 Feb) 106(2):A74-6
- 535 Roberts HW Leonard D Osborne J Potential health and environmental issues of mercury-contaminated amalgamators. *J Am Dent Assoc* (2001 Jan) 132(1):58-64
- 536 Stone ME The effect of amalgam separators on mercury loading to wastewater treatment plants. *J Calif Dent Assoc* (2004 Jul)
- 537 Kennedy CJ Uptake and accumulation of mercury from dental amalgam in the common goldfish, *Carassius auratus*. *Environ Pollut* (2003) 121(3):321-6

-
- 538 Stone ME Kuehne JC Cohen ME Talbott JL Scott JW Effect of iodine on mercury concentrations in dental-unit wastewater. *Dent Mater* (2006 Feb) 22(2):119-24
- 539 Hylander LD Lindvall A Gahnberg L High mercury emissions from dental clinics despite amalgam separators. *Sci Total Environ* (2006 Jun 1) 362(1-3):74-84
- 540 Condrin AK The use of CDA best management practices and amalgam separators to improve the management of dental wastewater. *J Calif Dent Assoc* (2004 Jul) 32(7):583-92
- 541 Adegbembo AO Watson PA Lugowski SJ The weight of wastes generated by removal of dental amalgam restorations and the concentration of mercury in dental wastewater. *J Can Dent Assoc* (2002 Oct) 68(9):553-8
- 542 Adegbembo AO Watson PA Estimated quantity of mercury in amalgam waste water residue released by dentists into the sewerage system in Ontario, Canada. *J Can Dent Assoc* (2004 Dec) 70(11):759, 759a-759f
- 543 Drummond JL Cailas MD Croke K Mercury generation potential from dental waste amalgam. *J Dent* (2003 Sep) 31(7):493-501
- 544 Journal of the American Dental Association under the category of Recommendations in Dental Mercury Hygiene in 1984 issue 109, pages 617-619.
- The American Dental Association's recommendations about 'waste amalgam' are:
- "All amalgam scraps should be salvaged and stored in a tightly closed container. The scrap should be covered by a sulfide solution such as X-ray or photographic fixer solution. A no-touch technique of handling amalgam should be used. Skin that is exposed to mercury should be cleaned. Precapsulated alloy should be used. A face mask should be used to avoid breathing amalgam dust."
- 545 http://www.ada.org.au/media/documents/Products_Publications/Journal%20Archives/2000%20Archive/December/adj1200_chin.pdf
- Australian Dental Association website. It is a paper which they have published in their own journal entitled "The environmental effects of dental amalgam.
- The final paragraph states:
- "The problem of environmental mercury contamination will not be solved by banning amalgam. As medical professionals, we should consider the various possibilities that can satisfy both the application of dental amalgam as a restorative material as well as minimising the environmental effects. Tackling this problem by the application of simple guidelines for mercury waste handling will reduce the environmental concerns of dental waste to an insignificant level without compromising dental amalgam's important role in dentistry."
- 546 The environmental effects of dental amalgam by G Chin, J Chong, A Kluczevska, A Lau, S Gorjy, M Tennant *Australian Dental Journal* 2000; 45(4): 246-249.
- 547 (1994 Second Opinion, William C. Douglas, M.D., ed. 1350 Center Dr. Ste100, Dunwoody, Georgia 30338).
- 548 Neuropsychological and Renal Effects of Dental Amalgam in Children: A Randomized Clinical Trial David C. Bellinger; Felicia Trachtenberg; Lars Barregard; Mary Tavares; Elsa Cernichiari; David Daniel; Sonja McKinlay *JAMA*, April 19, 2006; 295: 1775 - 1783.
- 549 Neurobehavioral Effects of Dental Amalgam in Children: A Randomized Clinical Trial Timothy A. DeRouen; Michael D. Martin; Brian G. Leroux; Brenda D. Townes; James S. Woods; Jorge Leitão; Alexandre Castro-Caldas; Henrique Luis; Mario Bernardo; Gail Rosenbaum; Isabel P. Martins *JAMA*, April 19, 2006; 295: 1784 - 1792.
- 550 American Dental Association at 27 Feb 2007 website
http://www.ada.org/public/media/releases/0604_release03.asp

551 Australian Dental Association website 27 Feb 2007

www.ada.org.au/newsroom/article,documentid,21203.aspx

552 JAMA, April 19, 2006 – Vol 295, No 15

553 Faroe Island Study [Cognitive Deficit in 7-Year-Old Children With Prenatal Exposure to Methylmercury. Grandjean, P; Weihe, P; White, RF; Debes, F; Araki, S; Yokoyama, I; Murata, K; Sorensen, N; Dahl, R; Jorgensen, PJ. *Neurotoxicol Teratol.*, 19(6):417-28, Nov-Dec 1997.

554 Written testimony by Philippe Grandjean, MD, PhD, at the Mercury MACT Rule Hearing Sponsored by Rep. Tom Allen, Maine State House, Augusta 1 March 2004.

<http://www.hsph.harvard.edu/faculty/grandjean/grandjean.pdf>